

Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planners Recommendation
18	18.38	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.1	Issues	Oppose	That the Resource Management Issues section is amended to include a new issue relating to the consideration and retention of Heritage within the Town Centre Zone.						Accept. Amend my including a new issue as follows: <u>Activities that are not managed appropriately can impact on heritage values within the zone</u>
57	57.64	Tai Te Ora –Public Health Service Sally Webb	3.1	Issues	Support / Amend	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In sub-clause 2, insert "unreasonable" before "noise."						Accept amend as requested.
17	17.106	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.1.4	Issues	Support	Retain issue 3.1.4 as notified						Accept support is noted.
18	18.39	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.2.1	Objective	Oppose	That Objective 3.2.1 is amended to include reference to heritage matters, as follows: "The Town centre is rich in <u>historic heritage values</u> , vibrant, functional, attractive and pleasant, and is a focal point for retail, commercial, cultural and community activities"						Accept in part. It is recommended that the objective is amended as follows: The Town Centre is vibrant, functional, attractive and pleasant <u>with significant cultural and historic values</u> and it a focal point for retail, commercial, cultural and community activities.  The amendment seeks to recognise that there are values that are important. It is also important to consider the heritage chapter which provides the framework for managing historic heritage. The amendments are considered the most effective and efficient way of providing for the purpose of the act.
37	37.13	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.2.1	Objective	Support in part	Objective 3.2.1 and Policies supported in part						Accept support is noted.
37	37.14	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.2.1	Policies	Support in part	Amend the Policies that implement Objective 3.2.1 to include the following: "3.2.1 x <u>Enable a range of retail, commercial, cultural and community activities in the Town Centre.</u> "	FS32	FS32.2	Department of Corrections	Support	Accept submission point.	Accept, recommend amending as requested.
18	18.42	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.2.1.3	Policies	Support in part	That Policy 3.2.1.3 of the ODC PDP is amended to clarify the buildings and facades of heritage importance within the Town Centre Zone.						To provide clarification, the policy intended to recognise those buildings listed in the Heritage Chapter. No amendments recommended.
57	57.65	Tai Te Ora –Public Health Service Sally Webb	3.2.1.4	Policies	Support / Amend	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert "both inside the Zone and" before "on the surrounding..."						The intent of the policy is to manage noise effects of activities. The policy seeks to provide this direction by specifying surrounding environment by which this could be inside or outside of the zone. No amendments are recommended.
18	18.40	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.2.2.1	Policies	Oppose	That Policy 3.2.2.1 is retained and amended as follows: "Development within the zone "Policy Area" will be required to provide continuity of retail frontage and of verandahs <u>subject to consideration of heritage values</u> ."						Accept in part. The Heritage chapter sits across all chapters and deals specifically with heritage values. If a verandah were to be attached to a heritage building that is listed in this chapter, the chapter will provide direction on <u>heritage values to protect</u> .
50	50.7	Z Energy Limited Karen Blair	3.2.2.1	Policies	Support	Retain policy as notified						Accept support is noted.
32	32.8	Ngai Tama Tim Selwyn	3.2.3.1	Policies	Amend	For safety the speed limit on Church Street from Richard Street to Kelly Street should be reduced from 50kph to 30kph.						Noted, speed is considered as part of the District's Bylaws and sits outside the RMA process. No amendments are recommended.
37	37.16	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.2.3.1	Policies	Oppose	Amend Rule 3.2.3.1 to include the following as a controlled activity: "x. <u>Emergency service facilities.</u> "						Accept amend as requested.
8	8.14	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	3.2.4	Objective	Support	Retain						Accept support is noted.
18	18.41	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.2.4	Objective	Support	That Objective 3.2.4 is retained subject to the following amendment: <u>To enable the Town Centre to continue to draw on its natural, historical and cultural heritage and to emphasise its relationship with the river and built heritage features"</u>						Accept amend as requested.
8	8.15	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	3.2.4.1	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept support is noted.
18	18.43	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.2.4.2	Policies	Support	That Policy 3.2.4.2 is retained						Accept support is noted.
18	18.4	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAleay	3.3	Status	Oppose	That the ODC PDP is amended to include the following advice note: "It should be noted that all pre 1900 sites, recorded or unrecorded are protected under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act and Heritage New Zealand should be consulted prior to proposed works to identify the need for an archaeological authority" in all chapters of the ODC PDP under the heading Activity Status, except in the Heritage Chapter, which already contains a similar note.						Reject. The Heritage Chapter provides a similar advice note which sits across all zones. Under 3.3.1 the Heritage chapter is referenced stating that additional controls apply. No amendments are recommended.
39	39.25	Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney	3.3.1	Status	Support in part	i) Amend the clause as follows: <u>Network utilities are subject to the provisions in Chapter 17 and are not subject to the Zone-Standard Rules in this Chapter.</u> ii) Insert the above clause in other zone chapters as appropriate.	FS11	FS11.49	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept amend as requested.
11	11.6	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	3.3.2	Status	Amend	To include provisions for seasonal worker accommodation						Accept in part, recommend providing for seasonal worker accommodation that accommodates 1-12pp as a permitted activity, and 13+pp/night as a restricted discretionary activity.
11	11.23	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	3.3.2.1	Status	Support in Part	Add to 3.3.2.1 Seasonal worker accommodation						Accept in part, recommend providing for seasonal worker accommodation that accommodates 1-12pp as a permitted activity.

Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planners Recommendation
14	14.4	Department of Corrections Craig Plim	3.3.2.1	Status	Oppose	Amend the Town Centre Zone permitted activities rule (3.3.2.1) as follows:  3.3.2.1 Subject to compliance with the Zone Standards in Section 3.6, the following activities may be established in the Town Centre Zone, without resource consent: ... X. <u>Community corrections activities.</u>						Accept - amend as requested. The activity is considered consistent with the overall purpose of the zone.
37	37.15	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.3.2.1	Status	Oppose	Amend Rule 3.3.2.1 to include the following as a permitted activity: "y. <u>Emergency services training and management activities.</u> "						Reject a request has been made by the commission for this activity to be a controlled activity.
19	19.7	NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies	3.3.2.1.13	Status	Support in Part	Retain permitted activity rule for TMTA, and include a statement to clarify that the other zone standards do not apply to TMTA (note: this appears to be the intent of the provisions but is not entirely clear in the rule framework). We suggest amending the introduction to the zone standards in each chapter (section 8.6 in the Rural Zone for example) to state – "For clarity, the zone standards shall not apply to temporary military training activities."						Accept amend as requested.
57	57.55	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	3.3.2.1.13	Status	Support	Allow the provision for temporary military training as a permitted activity complying with noise controls in Appendix 3.						Accept. No amendment recommended.
24	24.48	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	3.3.2.1.15	Status	Amend	Clarify the intent of the rule to read: "Removal of residential dwellings where the removal is in accordance with the requirements of the Building Act 2004 and Council's engineering standards."						Accept amend as requested.
24	24.7	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	3.3.3	Status	Amend	Refer to compliance with Appendix 3 - Provide for temporary military training activities as a controlled activity in chapters 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10. Add matters of control where required.	FS15	FS15.1	NZ Defence Force (NZDF)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. TMTA not complying with Appendix 3 shall be a controlled activity.
11	11.24	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	3.3.4.1	Status	Support in Part	Add to 3.3.4.1  Seasonal worker accommodation not complying with Zone Standards						Reject. Recommend providing for accommodation facilities that accommodate 13+ people per night as a restricted discretionary activity.
24	24.39	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	3.3.4.1	Status	Amend	Add 'Activities not complying with Rule 2.6.2.3 (Building setback from Council pipelines)' Similar changes to other Zone Chapters with reference to applicable standard number						Accept amend as requested
24	24.50	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	3.3.5.1	Status	Amend	Add to listed activities: "Signs as stated in 3.6.7.2"						Accept amend as requested.
41	41.5	Ministry of Education Andrew Hill	3.3.5.1.2	Status	Support	Retain as notified						Accept support is noted
50	50.4	Z Energy Limited Karen Blair	3.3.5.1.8	Status	Support	Retain as notified						Accept support is noted
19	19.32	NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies	3.3.6.1	Status	Oppose	Provide for TMTA that do not comply with the noise standards as controlled activities in each Zone.						Accept amendments have been made as a consequence of submission 24.7
17	17.3	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.4	Heading	Amend	Amend Chapters 2 to 18 (excluding Chapter 16) to insert a new heading: "Matters over which control is reserved" before the heading "Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities". Copy 'matters' to the new 'Matters' heading. Amend the list of criteria so it includes only criteria. Replicate this process for RDA activities having inserted a new heading "Matters over which discretion is restricted."						Accept in part
17	17.19	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.4.1.5	Criteria CA	Support / Amend	Amend assessment criteria within Chapters 2 to 11 as they relate to <del>historical and archaeological and cultural resources</del> to read:  <u>Historical, archaeological and cultural values and resources</u>  2. The effects of the activity on historic, archaeological and cultural values and resources, of importance to Māori.	FS14	FS14.1	Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board	Support	Accept submission point	Accept, amend as requested.
							FS18	FS18.4	Heritage NZ	Support	Accept submission point	
18	18.44	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAiley	3.4.1.5	Criteria CA	Support in part	Heritage New Zealand seeks that the Assessment Criteria 3.4.1.5 and 3.5.1.4 are retained and amended to state the heritage items to which they are applicable.						Reject. no amendments recommended. The assessment criteria are specific to the activities listed as restricted discretionary, when an application is being made the activity must consider the criteria in 3.4.1.5 and 3.5.1.4. These do not apply specifically to identified heritage features.

Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planners Recommendation
17	17.39	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.4.1.6	Criteria CA	Support / Amend	Amend as a 'Matter of Control' in Chapters 2 to 8 (as listed in the index column) :  <i>The susceptibility of the site to natural hazards and the measures implemented to mitigate the effects of natural hazards and achieve a low level of risk.</i>	FS9	FS9.2	Department of Conservation	Support in part	Amend so that an increase in the risk of adverse effects from coastal hazards is avoided, rather than achieving a low level of risk.	Reject. The Opotiki District Council is committed to managing the effects of natural hazards within its district. As part of this commitment Council will enter into a project with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council to respond to the management of use and development of land in a way that reduces natural hazard risk. This project is to commence within the next three years. The project will be undertaken in an integrated manner that incorporates a number of modelling projects, sustainability of the stopbanks on the Waioeka and Otara rivers, along with a number of other hazards including coastal hazards. It is intended that the project team will consult and engage with the Opotiki Community to provide options around responding. At the completion of the project dependant on findings a plan change may be undertaken to implement the outcomes of the project in an RMA framework. This will guide use, development and subdivision of land within our District.
17	17.58	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.5	Criteria CA	Amend	Insert into sections 3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5 a new heading <i>Matters over which discretion is restricted</i> (or similar) before the heading <i>Assessment Criteria for Restricted Discretionary Activities</i> and insert new matters: <i>Natural hazard occurrence.</i>  <i>1. The susceptibility of the site to natural hazards and the measures implemented to mitigate the effects of natural hazards and achieve a low level of risk.</i>  <i>2. The effects that the activity may have on the Volkers Island and Tarawa Creek ponding areas.</i>  Under the heading 'Assessment Criteria for Restricted Discretionary Activities' insert:  <i>The extent to which the activity may accentuate the adverse effects of natural hazards.</i>	FS9	FS9.11	Department of Conservation	Support in part	Amend so that an increase in the risk of coastal hazards is avoided rather than achieving a low level of risk	Accept in part. Reject reference to low level of risk. This aspect will be considered during the natural hazards project.
11	11.25	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	3.5.1	Criteria RDA	Support in Part	Seasonal worker accommodation:  Add assessment criteria where permitted activity rule cannot be met. Noise and amenity effects on surrounding neighbours and measures to mitigate the effects of the activity						Accept in part. Accept inserting restricted discretionary criteria as follows: <i>Noise and amenity effects on surrounding neighbours and measures to mitigate the effects of the activity</i>
18	18.45	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAuley	3.5.1.4	Criteria RDA	Support in part	Heritage New Zealand seeks that the Assessment Criteria 3.4.1.5 and 3.5.1.4 are retained and amended to state the heritage items to which they are applicable.						Reject, no amendments recommended. The assessment criteria are specific to the activities listed as restricted discretionary, when an application is being made the activity must consider the criteria in 3.4.1.5 and 3.5.1.4. These do not apply specifically to identified heritage features.
37	37.21	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.5.1.8	Criteria RDA	Amend	As a consequence of the change to 3.6.11, amend 3.5.1.8 to include the following: "Firefighting water supply 1. Mitigation of the potential adverse effects of fire, including fire spread."						Reject, no amendments recommended. An advice note has been recommended as part of the Utilities chapter to alert developers to fire standards.
11	11.26	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	3.6	Standards	Support in Part	Add: Seasonal worker accommodation facilities in the Town Centre Zone is a permitted activity provided the following standards are complied with:  a) The relevant Zone standards for yards, height, and daylight protection are complied with. b) Used solely for part of the year to meet labour requirements in the horticulture sector c) Accommodate up to 12 workers d) Provide 1 parking space per 6 workers to be accommodated e) Complies with Code of Practice for Able Bodied Seasonal Workers, published by Dept of Building and Housing 2008.						Accept amend as requested.

Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planners Recommendation
19	19.16	NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies	3.6	Standards	Support in Part	Retain permitted activity rule for TMTA, and include a statement to clarify that the other zone standards do not apply to TMTA (note: this appears to be the intent of the provisions but is not entirely clear in the rule framework). We suggest amending the introduction to the zone standards in each chapter (section 8.6 in the Rural Zone for example) to state – "For clarity, the zone standards shall not apply to temporary military training activities."						Accept amendment has been made as part of another submission point.
37	37.17	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.6.1.2	Standards	Support in part	Amend Standard 3.6.1.2 to include the following: "This Standard does not apply to hose driving towers up to 15m associated with emergency service facilities."						Accept amendment as it supports the purpose of the zone to provide for community activities and supports the purpose of the RMA to provide for peoples health and safety. Emergency services and their facilities are an important service provided to support the community.
50	50.22	Z Energy Limited Karen Blair	3.6.2.1	Standards	Oppose	Delete Town Centre Zone rule 3.6.2.1 relating to yards and setbacks and rely on HSNO rules to address risk issues						Accept delete as hazardous substances is no longer a function managed by the RMA.
37	37.18	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.6.4.1	Standards	Support in part	Amend Standard 3.6.4.1 to include the following: "These standards do not apply to sirens used by emergency services."						Accept amend as requested. The amendment supports the ability for emergency services to operate.
41	41.7	Ministry of Education Andrew Hill	3.6.4.1	Standards	Support	Retain as notified						Accept support is noted, changes have been made as a consequence of other submission points.
57	57.66	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	3.6.4.1	Standards	Support / Amend	A. Replace "the boundary of a site within the Residential Zone" with "any point within the Residential Zone" ... B. In the noise table replace the day time noise limit with 70 LAeq and the night-time limits with "70 LAeq and 65 Lmax". C. Add a new paragraph under the table as follows: "Except where expressly provided elsewhere in this Plan, noise shall be measured in accordance with the provisions of NZS 6801:2008, Acoustics-Measurement of Environmental Sound and assessed in accordance with the provisions of NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics-Environmental Noise." D. Amend incorrect title of NZS 6803 to NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics- Construction Noise.						Accept amend as requested.
18	18.46	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	3.6.6	Standards	Oppose	That Zone standard: 3.6.6 is retained and is amended to recognise that no parking and loading is to be required to be installed nor financial contributions expected in the event of the reuse of a heritage building.						Reject, carparking requirements and their effects still need to be managed.
37	37.19	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.6.6	Standards	Support in part	Amend Standard 3.6.6.1 to include the following additional standard: "Emergency service facilities 1 space per emergency service vehicle bay."						Reject. It is recommended that 5 parking spaces are provided for every emergency vehicle bay. This will ensure sufficient parking is provided to service the number of people likely to use the facility.
57	57.23	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	3.6.6	Standards	Amend	Add cycle storage facilities (bicycle parking) and drinking water fountains in each urban zone.						Accept in part. It is recommended that the relief sought in the submission point is managed through the LTP process and these would be public assets managed by Council rather than individuals undertaking private developments. No amendments recommended.
41	41.6	Ministry of Education Andrew Hill	3.6.6.1	Standards	Support	Retain as notified						Accept support is noted
14	14.5	Department of Corrections Craig Plim	3.6.6.1.1	Standards	Oppose	Amend the Town Centre Zone standard for Parking and Loading (3.6.6.1.1) as follows: 3.6.6.1 Carparking and provision for loading shall be provided in relation to every activity whether new, reconstructed, extended or where the building use has changed, and the parking ratios require stricter rules as follows: 1. A financial contribution for customer carparking, in the form of money, will be required, and will be based on the following ratios: ... Community corrections activities 1 space for every 2 full time equivalent employees and 1 space for every 10 people the facility is designed to service.						Accept amend as recommended.

Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planners Recommendation	
12	12.5	NZ Transport Agency Mathew Stulen	3.6.7	Standards	Amend	<p>Provide an effect based framework for signage that enables Council to consider the potential adverse effects of signs on the safety and efficiency of the transport network.</p> <p>Suggested provisions to include in the zone standards (including but not limited to):</p> <p><u>The proposed sign should not:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- have reflective material or illumination that flashes and moves;</li> <li>- obstruct impair the view of any official traffic sign and signal, or the line of sight of any corner, bend, intersection or vehicle crossing;</li> <li>- physically obstruct or impede traffic or pedestrians;</li> <li>- resemble or be likely to be confused with any official traffic signs or signals; and</li> <li>- use support structures which are not frameable.</li> </ul> <p>Amend the "approval of the Transport Agency" requirement so that it becomes an advice note and it is amended as follows: <u>Approval for signs visible from any state highway must be gained from NZ Transport Agency. Consultation with the NZ Transport Agency will be important in the assessment of traffic safety for sign resource consent applications where they are proposed to be located on private property and are visible from a State Highway. The NZ Transport Agency also controls signs on state highway corridor Legal Road by the means of the NZ Transport Agency signs on state highways bylaw July 2010.</u></p>							Accept amend as recommended.
50	50.23	Z Energy Limited Karen Blair	3.6.8.1	Standards	Oppose	Delete Rule 3.6.8.1						Accept, hazardous substances is no longer a function managed under the RMA.	
17	17.29	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.6.9.1	Standards	Oppose / Amend	<p>Amend Floor Level rules in Chapters 2 to 11 (as listed in the index, Jo read eg 9.6.9):</p> <p><u>Floor levels shall be sufficient to ensure that water does not enter buildings in a 1% AEP (Annual Exceedance Probability) event within the coastal environment or a 2% AEP (Annual Exceedance Probability) event for areas outside the coastal environment and a low level of risk achieved.</u></p> <p><u>Opotiki District Council will determine the appropriate freeboard that needs to be added to the floor level to set the required minimum floor level.</u></p>						<p>Accept in part. The Opotiki District Council is committed to managing the effects of natural hazards within its district. As part of this commitment Council will enter into a project with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council to respond to the management of use and development of land in a way that reduces natural hazard risk. This project is to commence within the next three years. The project will be undertaken in an integrated manner that incorporates a number of modelling projects, sustainability of the stopbanks on the Waioeka and Otara rivers, along with a number of other hazards including coastal hazards. It is intended that the project team will consult and engage with the Opotiki Community to provide options around responding.</p> <p>At the completion of the project dependant on findings a plan change may be undertaken to implement the outcomes of the project in an RMA framework. This will guide use, development and subdivision of land within our District.</p> <p>Accept amending the floor level requirements to 1% in the Coastal Environment and 2% for areas outside.</p>	
18	18.47	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAley	3.6.10.1	Standards	Oppose	<p>That Zone standard: 3.6.10.1 is retained and amended as follows:</p> <p><u>Within the Town Centre Zone Policy Area, as indicated on Planning Maps 5a all buildings (except historic items in Appendix 14.9.1) fronting footpaths, arcades and pedestrian precincts shall provide display windows on the facade. Non-retail activities shall provide a similar appearance to adjacent retail activities".</u></p>						Reject. The rule framework for historic buildings is located in the Heritage Chapter. If works are to be undertaken to a heritage building the provisions of that chapter will apply. This is indicated by the provision under 3.3.1. No amendments are recommended.	
18	18.48	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAley	3.6.10.2	Standards	Oppose	<p>That Zone standard: 3.6.10.2 is retained and is amended as follows:</p> <p><u>"A continuous pedestrian verandah shall be provided by every building (except historic items in Appendix 14.9.1) within the Town Centre Zone Policy area as identified on Planning Map 5a:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The verandah shall have a minimum depth of 3m or terminate no less than 0.5m behind the face of the cartage way kerb, whichever is the lesser.</li> <li>2. Where the building is set back further than adjoining buildings, the Council may require a verandah deeper than 3m to maintain the continuity of cover over the public footpath.</li> <li>3. The underside of the verandah shall be between 2.6m and 3.6m over the finished level of the footpath and provide continuity of height and cover with adjoining verandahs.</li> <li>4. Verandah posts are permitted provided that they are not used for structural support of the verandah".</li> </ol>						Reject. The rule framework for historic buildings is located in the Heritage Chapter. If works are to be undertaken to a heritage building the provisions of that chapter will apply. This is indicated by the provision under 3.3.1. No amendments are recommended.	

Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planners Recommendation
35	35.1	Nuiupu Trust Ian Peterson	3.6.10.2.4	Standards	Support / Amend	Delete provision that the verandah poles must not be load bearing						The rule as written allows poles in the design of the verandah which provides flexibility in design options. No amendments recommended.
37	37.20	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.6.13	Standards	Oppose	Amend Standard 3.6.11 as follows: "3.6.11 <del>POTABLE WATER</del> 3.6.11.1 <del>Potable Water Supply</del> A potable water supply ... 3.6.11.1 x <del>Firefighting Water Supply</del> 1. <del>New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice (SNZ PAS 4509:2008).</del> "						Accept in part, it is recommended that the following advice note is included in Chapter 17 - Network Utilities as follows: The New Zealand Fire Service recommends that water storage volumes and delivery systems be installed in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water supplies Code of Practice 2008. The Fire Service advises that often the best method to achieve compliance with this code of practice is through the installation of a home sprinkler system in accordance with Fire Systems for Houses NZS 4517:2010, in each new dwelling. The qualified staff of the New Zealand Fire service would be happy to assist and advise.
24	24.44	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	3.6.14	Standards	Amend	Change 'Vehicle Entrance Designs' to 'Vehicle Entrances' Change 'Residential and commercial vehicle entrance designs shall comply with Appendix 4' to ' Residential and commercial vehicle entrances shall be provided and designs shall comply with Appendix 4'						Accept amend as requested.
37	37.22	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	3.6.14	Standards	Support	Subject to Standard 3.6.14 not applying to fire stations, retain Standard 3.6.14 as notified.						Reject. Need to specify standards that should apply.
18	18.49	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAiley	3.7	Other Methods	Oppose	That the Other Methods section is amended to include a plan change to recognise and protection additional heritage items within the Town Centre Zone.						Reject. Heritage Chapter is the mechanism for protecting heritage buildings. This can be addressed in recommendations to the Heritage Chapter. No amendments are recommended.
18	18.50	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAiley	3.7	Other Methods	Oppose	Other Methods could also include a method to incentivise the conservation of historic heritage within the town centre zone with methods similar to the reduction in parking requirements suggested in this submission.						Accept in part, it is recommended that this is considered as part of the heritage study in response to submission points to the Heritage chapter. No amendments recommended.
17	17.49	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	3.7.1	Other Methods	Support / Amend	Amend 'Other Methods' referring to natural hazards (in 2.7.1, 3.7.1, 7.6.1, 8.7.1, 9.7.1 and 10.7.1) as notified to read:  <del>Through the Use of Land Information Memoranda (LIM) and Project Information Memoranda (PIM) to provide information on known natural hazards to potential developers and applicants.</del>  Insert the above 'Other Method' into sections 4.7.1, 5.6.1, 6.7.1 and 11.9.1.						Accept
18	18.51	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAiley	3.8	EER	Oppose	That 3.8 Expected Environmental Results is amended to include a new result: <u>"D. Increased recognition and protection for Heritage buildings"</u>	FS3	FS3.66	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept recommend amending as requested.