

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested**

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| 24 | 24.77 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14 | Advice Note | Amend | Include a statement about the protection of archaeological sites and undiscovered sites and the process to be followed, making it clear that this applies outside the Plan. | FS14 FS18 | FS14.9 FS18.56 | Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board Heritage NZ | Support Oppose in Part | Accept submission point Heritage NZ seeks to clarify roles | Accept - the addition of a note at the beginning of the chapter makes it clear that separate authorisation may be required, regardless of the rules in the Plan. |
| 18 | 18.104 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.1.1 | Issues | Support | That issue 14.1.1 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.105 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.1.2 | Issues | Support in part | That the Resource Management Issue 14.1.2 is amended to clarify the nature of the heritage items, resources or structures, or sites to which it refers. | FS3 | FS3.104 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council | Support | Accept submission point | Accept in part. Clarification of the issue is supported and the term used should be consistent with the wording of other issues and the relevant legislation. The use of the word "site" in this context is in its common meaning not as defined, so the term should not be shown as bold . |
| 18 | 18.106 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.1.4 | Issues | Support | That the Resource Management Issue 14.1.4 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.107 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.1.5 | Issues | Support | That Issue 14.1.5 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.108 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.1.6 | Issues | Support in part | That Resource Management Issue 14.1.6 is retained and amended to reflect the fact that it relates to heritage sites. A suggested amendment is provided below: "There are a large number of unrecorded <i>heritage</i> sites (or <i>heritage</i> resources) which may be changed or destroyed where landowners are unaware of their existence." | | | | | | Accept. The clarification is supported. |
| 8 | 8.199 | Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning | 14.2.1 | Objective | Support | Retain | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.109 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.1 | Objective | Support in part | That Objective 14.2.1 is retained, subject to the following amendment: "To recognise and protect the heritage values of resources, including <i>wahi tapu</i> , buildings, objects, trees and archaeological sites." | | | | | | Accept. The inclusion of wahi tapu completes the list. |
| 18 | 18.112 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.1 | Policies | Support | That the ODC PDP is amended to include a new policy 14.2.1.1a: <i>That the removal or demolition, partial demolition or destruction of any Heritage Resource listed in Appendix 14.9.1 and 14.9.1a is avoided.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. Separate guidance for demolition or destruction from alteration and addition is supported. |
| 18 | 18.110 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.1.1 | Policies | Oppose in part | That Policy 14.2.1.1 is retained and amended as follows: "Ensure that any the alterations and additions, modification, damage and destruction to of any <i>Historic Items</i> <i>Heritage Resource</i> listed in Appendix 14.9.1 are undertaken in such a manner accordance with the <i>Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014</i> ; so that t he alterations or additions do not adversely affect the heritage values of the site <i>historic items</i> ." with any consequential amendments as required for consistency. | | | | | | Accept. Alterations and additions are provided for as a separate activity to demolition, destruction and removal and separate guidance will assist with the clear application of the Plan provisions. |
| 24 | 24.780 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.2.1.1 | Policies | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. The correction is supported. |
| 18 | 18.113 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.1.2 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.1.2 is amended as follows: "Ensure signs on <i>historic items</i> in Appendix 14.9.1 <i>scheduled features</i> or sites on which they are located do not adversely affect heritage values and avoid unnecessary or inappropriate signage." | | | | | | Accept in part. The amended wording provides clearer guidance. |
| 8 | 8.200 | Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning | 14.2.1.3 | Policies | Support | Retain | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.114 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.1.4 | Policies | Support | That Policy 14.2.1.4 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.115 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.2 | Objective | Support | That Objective 14.2.2 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.116 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.2.1 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.2.1 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.118 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.2.2 | Policies | Support | That Policy 14.2.2.2 is retained. | FS3 | FS3.105 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council | Support | Accept submission point | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.119 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.2.3 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.2.3 is retained and amended as follows: "Recognise the role of, and work with, other agencies (and legislation) such as the <i>New Zealand Historic Places Trust</i> , <i>Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga</i> and the <i>New Zealand Archaeological Association</i> ." | FS11 | FS11.34 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ) | Oppose | Reject submission point | Accept. The correct title should be updated. |
| 18 | 18.120 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.3 | Objective | Support | That Objective 14.2.3 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.121 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.3.1 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.3.1 is retained | FS3 | FS3.143 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council | Support | Accept submission point | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.122 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.3.2 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.3.2 is retained, subject to the following amendment: <i>Encourage the development of Iwi and Hapu resource management plans that provide information about sites of cultural significance and information relating the external processes that may impact on cultural resources such as Resource Management, Heritage New Zealand and Department of Conservation processes.</i> | FS14 | FS14.10 | Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board | Support | Accept submission point | Accept in part. The amended wording provides clearer guidance. |
| 18 | 18.123 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.3.3 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.3.3 is retained. | FS3 | FS3.144 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council | Support | Accept submission point | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 17 | 17.178 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish | 14.2.3.4 | Policies | Support | Retain Policy 14.2.3.4 as notified. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.124 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.2.3.4 | Policies | Support in part | That Policy 14.2.3.4 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 19 | 19.280 | NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies | 14.3.2 | Status | Oppose | Provide for TMTA as a permitted activity in these chapters. | | | | | | Reject. TMTA are provided for in each zone. The provisions in this chapter apply across all zones and consideration of effects on listed heritage items is appropriate and necessary to achieve the purpose of the Act. |
| 53 | 53.29 | Vodafone Chris Home | 14.3.2 | Status | Oppose | Amend Rule 14.3.2 such that network utility connections are specifically provided for as permitted activities, and are clearly exempt from any rules that apply to generally to alterations and additions to heritage buildings. | FS18 | FS18.57 | Heritage NZ | Oppose in part | Need to understand the nature of permitted activities sought | Accept in part. Maintenance and repairs are provided for as permitted activities. Other activities may require resource consent depending on their scale and effects. Clarification of the meaning of Maintenance and Repair is included as a change to the Definitions, in response to another submission. |

Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--|---------------|--------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|---|
| 54 | 54.29 | Chorus Chris Home | 14.3.2 | Status | Oppose | Amend Rule 14.3.2 such that network utility connections are specifically provided for as permitted activities, and are clearly exempt from any rules that apply to generally to alterations and additions to heritage buildings. | FS18 | FS18.58 | Heritage NZ | Oppose in part | Seeks clarification regarding nature of permitted activities sought. | Accept in part. Maintenance and repairs are provided for as permitted activities. Other activities may require resource consent depending on their scale and effects. Clarification of the meaning of Maintenance and Repair is included as a change to the Definitions, in response to another submission. |
| 55 | 55.29 | Spark | 14.3.2 | Status | Oppose | Amend Rule 14.3.2 such that network utility connections are specifically provided for as permitted activities, and are clearly exempt from any rules that apply to generally to alterations and additions to heritage buildings. | FS18 | FS18.59 | Heritage NZ | Oppose in part | Seeks clarification regarding nature of permitted activities sought. | Accept in part. Maintenance and repairs are provided for as permitted activities. Other activities may require resource consent depending on their scale and effects. Clarification of the meaning of Maintenance and Repair is included as a change to the Definitions, in response to another submission. |
| 18 | 18.125 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.2.1.1 | Status | Support in part | That Permitted Activity 14.3.2.1.1. Maintenance and Repair is retained, subject to a definition of repair and maintenance and clear criteria. The criteria shall be as follows: <i>The work is for the purpose of weatherproofing, plumbing and electrical work restoration and for the purpose of repair which includes:</i> - <i>The work involves stabilisation, preservation and conservation as defined in the ICOMOS NZ Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Heritage Value, 1993 (the ICOMOS Charter).</i> - <i>The work does not involve alterations, additions (including restoration and reconstruction as defined in the ICOMOS Charter), relocation, partial demolition and demolition (otherwise other standards apply).</i> - <i>The work involves the restoration to good or sound condition of any existing building or any part of an existing building.</i> - <i>The work involves the patching, restoration or minor replacement of materials, elements, components, equipment and fixtures for the purposes of maintaining such materials, elements, components, equipment and fixtures in good or sound condition.</i> - <i>Any redecoration work involves the renewal, restoration or new application of surface finishes, decorative elements, minor fittings and fixtures and floor coverings which does not destroy, compromise, damage or impair the appreciation of the heritage values of the element being redecorated.</i> - <i>The work carried out on the building shall generally match the original in terms of quality, materials and detailing.</i> - <i>Repair of material or of a site should generally be with original or similar materials. However, repair of technically higher standard than the original workmanship or materials may be justified where the life expectancy of the site or material is increased, the new material is compatible with the old and any heritage value is not diminished.</i> - <i>The work is for the purposes of keeping the building in good condition.</i> - <i>The work does not result in any increase in the area of land occupied by the building.</i> - <i>The work does not change the character, scale and intensity of any effects of the building on the environment (except to reduce any adverse effects or increase any positive effects) but does not include upgrading.</i> - <i>No painting is to be applied to any previously unpainted surface or render to previously unplastered wall surfaces. New paint should not adopt brash or extreme colours and the adoption of 'corporate colours' should be discouraged.</i> - <i>Repair work should be carried out by a tradesperson with experience in working with heritage buildings.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. A definition of Maintenance and repair is supported for inclusion to make it clear what work is permitted. |
| 18 | 18.126 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.2.1.2 | Status | Support | That the Permitted activity 14.3.2.1.2. Signage is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 39 | 39.550 | Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney | 14.3.2.1.3 | Status | Support | (i) Remove Rule 14.3.2.1.3 | | | | | | Accept. Duplication of the provisions of the NES is not required. |
| 18 | 18.128 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.3 | Status | Oppose | That the following controlled activity provision is included within Chapter 14: <i>"Works on an historic item listed in Appendix 14.9.1 for the purposes of earthquake strengthening"</i> . | | | | | | Accept. Recognition that historic items may require earthquake strengthening is supported. |
| 24 | 24.790 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.3.1 | Status | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 24 | 24.860 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.3.1 | Status | Amend | Refer to "14.10 Appendix 2" or correct reference | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 18 | 18.127 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.3.1.1 | Status | Support in part | That provision 14.3.3.1.1 is retained subject to the following amendments and its activity status being amended to a restricted discretionary activity: <i>"Additions and alterations. The modification, but not structural modification, of any heritage resource listed in Appendix 14.9.1-Historic Items, in the same or similar materials, and of similar design as those originally used, or work relating to those parts which are not protected"</i> | | | | | | Accept. A definition is included to make the scope of "additions and alterations" clear. |
| 8 | 8.201 | Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning | 14.3.3.1.2 | Status | Support | Retain | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 24 | 24.800 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.4.1 | Status | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 18 | 18.130 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.4.1.1 | Status | Support | That provision 14.3.4.1.1 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.131 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.5.1.1 | Status | Support | That provision 14.3.5.1.1 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 10 | 10.26 | Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang | 14.3.5.1.2 | Status | Oppose | Amend Clause 2 to apply to any excavation or construction that actually or potentially impacts on the "Historic Items" and/or clarify the definition of site to be more concise so as to allow for activities that have no impact on the historic item. | FS18 | FS18.60 | Heritage NZ | Oppose in part | Reject submission point | Reject. No change is necessary as all the items listed are specified and relate to discrete sites where it is appropriate to control works of the nature identified. |
| 18 | 18.132 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.5.1.2 | Status | Support | That provision 14.3.5.1.2 is retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 24 | 24.810 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.5.1.2 | Status | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 18 | 18.133 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.5.1.3 | Status | Oppose | That provision 14.3.5.1.3 is deleted. | | | | | | Reject. Structural modification may be required to maintain the structural integrity of the building. Works involving demolition or partial demolition are listed as non-complying activities. A distinction between the two types of works is considered to be appropriate. |
| 24 | 24.820 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.5.1.3 | Status | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 8 | 8.202 | Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning | 14.3.5.2 | Status | Amend | Should also refer to Appendix 14.9.2 (notable trees) | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 24 | 24.870 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.6.1 | Status | Amend | Refer to Appendix 14.10 | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 18 | 18.134 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.3.6.1.2 | Status | Support | That provision 14.3.6.1.2 is retained and amended as follows: <i>"The demolition, partial demolition or removal of any Heritage Resource identified in Appendix 14.9.1"</i> | | | | | | Accept. Any demolition work should be covered by this rule. This will clarify the intent. |

Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 24 | 24.830 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.6.1.2 | Status | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 8 | 8.203 | Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning | 14.3.6.1.3 | Status | Amend | Should also refer to Appendix 14.9.2 (notable trees) | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 24 | 24.840 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.3.6.1.3 | Status | Amend | Amend to refer to "Appendix 14.9 Appendix 1" or correct reference. | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 17 | 17.14 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish | 14.4 | Heading | Amend | Amend Chapters 2 to 18 (excluding Chapter 16) to insert a new heading: "Matters over which control is reserved" before the heading "Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities". Copy 'matters' to the new 'Matters' heading. Amend the list of criteria so it includes only criteria. Replicate this process for RDA activities having inserted a new heading 'Matters over which discretion is restricted.' | FS19 | FS19.102 | Horticulture NZ | Oppose | Reject submission point | Accept. Consistent and correct headings throughout the Plan are supported. |
| 18 | 18.129 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4 | Criteria CA | Oppose | That the following controlled activity assessment criteria is included: "The extent to which the earthquake strengthening preserves the recognised heritage values of the historic item." | | | | | | Accept. Consideration of the effects of works on the values of the item are relevant to be considered. |
| 24 | 24.880 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.4.1.1 | Criteria CA | Amend | Amend to read: "undertaken only after receiving..." | | | | | | Accept. Minor correction. |
| 18 | 18.136 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.2.1 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.2.1 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and amended as follows: "The enhancement, conservation, or depreciation of the resources heritage values" | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.137 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.2.2 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.2.2 is retained and changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria. | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.138 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.3.1 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.3.1 is retained and changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria. | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.139 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.3.2 | Criteria CA | Amend | That the provision 14.4.1.3.2 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and amended as follows: "The degree to which any alteration or addition will effect the character, heritage values, of the resource." | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.140 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.3.3 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.3.3 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and amended as follows: "The use of materials and colours is consistent with the identified heritage values, that will enhance the quality of the resource that is consistent with the heritage values" | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.141 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.3.4 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.3.4 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and amended as follows: "That the materials used are sympathetic to the heritage values of the surrounding environment." | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.142 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.3.5 | Criteria CA | Support | That the provision 14.4.1.3.5 is retained and is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria. | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.143 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.4.1 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.4.1 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and is amended as follows: "The effects of the activity in relation to the Enhancement-conservation of the resource" "Mechanisms implemented to protect and enhance conserve heritage values" | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.144 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.4.2 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.4.2 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and is amended as follows: "Use of design criteria, including architecture, scale, design, form, style, bulk, height, colour, materials, orientation, placement of signs, and location of site access to conserve, maintain and enhance heritage values." | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.145 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.4.3 | Criteria CA | Support in part | That the provision 14.4.1.4.3 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and is amended as follows: "Any fencing, screening, or other physical works that assist in conserving enhancing values of the heritage resource" | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.146 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.4.1.5.5 | Criteria CA | Support | That the provision 14.4.1.5.5 is changed from a controlled assessment criteria to a restricted discretionary assessment criteria and the criteria amended as follows: "14.4.1.5.5. The findings of any assessment carried out by a qualified person (e.g. Archaeologist in the case of historic sites) Such a report shall identify the heritage values of the heritage site or resource and provide an assessment of effects and proposals for avoidance of or mitigation of effects, where applicable." | | | | | | Accept. As the status of the activity is recommended to be changed, the matters to which the Council restricts its discretion must also be specified. |
| 18 | 18.135 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.5 | Criteria RDA | Oppose | That the following restricted discretionary provision is included within Chapter 14 in the ODC PDP: "New building within the settings of an historic item in 14.9.1 Appendix -I Historic Items" "That the following restricted discretionary assessment criteria is included within Chapter 14: The extent to which the location, bulk, scale and design of the new building detracts from the recognised heritage values of the historic item in 14.9.1 Appendix 1 Historic Items." | | | | | | Accept in part. New buildings are not listed as a restricted discretionary activity and therefore the matters of discretion restricted are not relevant. They are recommended for inclusion as discretionary activity assessment criteria instead. |
| 18 | 18.147 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.5.1 | Criteria RDA | Support | That the matters of discretion at 14.5.1 are retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.148 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.6.1 | Criteria DA | Support | That the provisions at 14.6.1 are retained. | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 39 | 39.540 | Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney | 14.6.1.1 | Criteria DA | Support | (i) Retain assessment matter 14.6.1.1 | | | | | | Accept. Support is noted. |
| 18 | 18.117 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.7 | Other Methods | Support in part | That Section 14.7 is amended to incorporate: "the undertaking of a significant archaeological study for the District, with its outcomes being included for recognition and protection and appropriate rule framework within the ODC PDP." | | | | | | Accept. The study is supported as an important resource to enable people to be aware of archaeological sites and potential effects on them. |

Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 18 | 18.149 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.7 | Other Methods | Support in part | That provision 14.7 Other methods is retained and amended as follows: "Other methods for achieving the objectives and policies of this section are: 1. Council development of a Plan Change for of a cultural heritage inventory and related protective rule framework for the District in consultation with the community. The Plan Change will be based on a cultural heritage study to identify, assess and select for scheduling wahi tapu and sites of significance to Maori. As funds allow this will be checked and updated particularly where there is a high density of sites and a significant potential demand for development. 2. Encouraging landowners to protect heritage resources by: (a) Providing information about these resources including location, value and mechanisms available for protection. (b) providing rates relief for the voluntary protection of resources on private land where such protection is of benefit to the wider community in keeping with Council policy 3. The assessment of the townships within the Opotiki District and the implementation of the heritage plans Plan Changes to recognise and protect the distinct heritage character of the townships. The Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 will be used as a background for the plan change with regard to the Opotiki town centre. 4. Consultation with Iwi and hapu to determine the most appropriate ways to protect and manage archaeological sites and wahi tapu where they are of concern for Maori heritage". 5. Council development of a Plan Change for significant archaeological sites and related protective rules for the District in consultation with the community and Heritage New Zealand. | FS14 | FS14.11 | Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board | Support | Accept submission point | Accept in part. The amended wording supports the intent of the chapter and other methods available to achieve outcomes for heritage resource in the District. |
| 18 | 18.150 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.8 | EER | Support | That the Expected Environmental Results at 14.8 are retained and amended as follows: A. Protection of heritage resources and an increased public awareness of their values. B. Increased number of heritage resources being protected in the District Plan for their values, or managed in a way that ensures their continued existence." | FS14 | FS14.12 | Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board | Support | Accept submission point | Accept in part. The amended wording supports the intent of the chapter and other methods available to achieve outcomes for heritage resource in the District. |
| 18 | 18.151 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.8 | EER | Support | That the Expected Environmental Results at 14.8 are retained and amended as follows: A. Protection of heritage resources and an increased public awareness of their values. B. Increased number of heritage resources being protected in the District Plan for their values, or managed in a way that ensures their continued existence." | | | | | | Accept in part. The amended wording supports the intent of the chapter and other methods available to achieve outcomes for heritage resource in the District. |
| 18 | 18.111 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | Appendix | Oppose in part | That the wahi tapu sites scheduled within Appendix 14.9.1, are transferred to a new heritage Appendix 14.9.1a. | | | | | | Accept. The creation of a new appendix for wahi tapu is appropriate and is supported. |
| 18 | 18.152 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | Appendix | Support | That the ODC PDP is amended by placing the Wahi tapu items in a new historic items schedule 14.9.1b, with any consequential amendments as required. | | | | | | Accept. The creation of a new appendix for wahi tapu is appropriate and is supported. |
| 18 | 18.153 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | Appendix | Oppose in part | That 14.9 Appendix 1: Historic Items and the Heritage New Zealand List are aligned to include such matters as the same address details for scheduled and listed items. | FS3 | FS3.121 | Bay of Plenty Regional Council | Support | Accept submission point | Accept. Sites should have consistent referencing. The provision of this information from Heritage NZ will enable the correct details to be included. This change is recommended for inclusion when the details are provided. |
| 18 | 18.154 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | Appendix | Support in part | That 14.9 Appendix 1: Historic Items is retained and amended to include the items discussed below in this submission table. | | | | | | Reject. The items listed need to be evaluated individually and the heritage values associated with each item identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action. A plan change would enable consultation with affected landowners and the development of an appropriate framework. |
| 18 | 18.155 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the current content of Appendix 14.9: Historic Items is amended to include: the Heritage New Zealand Listed as (#7455) Category 2 Item: "Hīwarau" a Wahi Tapu Area, 149 Hīwarau Road, Kutarere. | | | | | | Accept. The addition is supported. |
| 18 | 18.156 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the current content of Appendix 14.9: Historic Items is amended to include: the Heritage New Zealand Listed as (#7463) Category 2 Item: "Waiwhero" a Wahi Tapu Area, at State Highway 35, Omarumutu, Opotiki | | | | | | Accept. The addition is supported. |
| 18 | 18.157 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | Advice Note | Oppose | That the advice note is amended as follows: The New Zealand Archaeological Association holds information on known archaeological sites (archsite.org.nz) within the Opotiki District: it is recommended that this information be consulted by consents applicants and that they seek advice where appropriate from an archaeological consultant, a list of which can be found at nzarchaeology.org. | | | | | | Accept. The amended wording is appropriate. |
| 18 | 18.158 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - Shalloons 1914 Building, at 18 King Street. | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.159 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - Former Masonic Hotel Stables Façade, at 121 Church Street. | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.160 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - Kowhai Takeaways Building (Former County Council offices), 125 Church Street. | FS22 | FS22.1 | Alison Cookson | Oppose | Reject submission point | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested**

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 18 | 18.161 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Former Power Board Building/Bay of plenty Electricity Torrens Building, at 128 Church Street.</u> | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.162 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Kelly Street Cemetery, Kelly Street.</u> | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.163 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>St Joseph School Classroom Block, 20 Grey Street.</u> | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.164 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Opotiki Wharf, Opotiki Wharf.</u> | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.165 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Former Kings Theatre, 21 King Street</u> | FS23 | FS23.1 | Glenn Collins | Oppose | Reject submission point | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.166 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Former Blacksmiths/Former Glass Shop, 23 King Street.</u> | FS21 | FS21.1 | Mayona Connell | Oppose | Reject submission point | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.167 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Platt Bros, 25 King Street.</u> | FS20 | FS20.1 | Neil Linley & Barbara Brown | Oppose | Reject the submission point | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.168 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Building adjacent to Platt Bros, at 27 King Street</u> | FS20 | FS20.2 | Neil Linley & Barbara Brown | Oppose | Reject the submission point | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.169 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Drill Hall, at 59 St John Street.</u> | | | | | | Reject. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.170 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Former Loan and Mercantile Building, at 122-124 St John Street.</u> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.171 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items (subject to an update review if required) from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are included within 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items (refer list attached to submission): - <u>Former Masonic Lodge, 126 John Street.</u> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.172 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <u>Church Street Surgery, Church street.</u> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.173 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <u>United Video, 98 Church Street.</u> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.174 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <u>Arthur's and Larmer's Building, at Church Street.</u> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested**

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 18 | 18.175 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Regent Theatre, at 104 Church Street</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.176 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Paper Plus, new Zealand post and Recycled Labels, at 106 Church Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.177 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Strand Arcade, at 110 Church street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.178 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Ladies Rest Room, at Church Street</i> | FS11 | FS11.46 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ) | Oppose | Reject submission point | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.179 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Dalgety & Co Wool and Grain store, at Elliot Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.180 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Car Showroom, at 16 King Street, Opotiki,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.181 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Pohutukawa House, at King Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.182 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Real Estate Agents Building (Wakelin Motors), at King Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.183 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Opotiki Backpackers, at 30 King Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.184 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Maori Mission Hall, King Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.185 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Presbyterian Church, St John Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.186 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>DOC Office, Former County Council offices, St John Street,</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.187 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Methodist Church, Ford Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.188 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Opotiki Cemetery, crr St John and Duke Street</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested**

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 18 | 18.189 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Petrol Station, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.190 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Ocean Seafoods, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.191 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Easy Loans Building, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.192 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Opotiki Childrens Art House, 90 Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.193 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>The Bakehouse, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.194 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Opotiki Butchery, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.195 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Former Patterson's Garage, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.196 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Bernina Sewing Centre, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.197 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Opotiki Superette, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.198 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Opotiki Market, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.199 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Jimmy Boys Takeaways, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.200 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Dawsons, tangata whenua, De Cut Salon, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.201 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>1938 Hickeys Jewelers Block, Church Street</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.202 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - <i>Ross's Butchery Building, Church Street.</i> | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |

Proposed Opotiki District Plan
Summary of Decisions Requested

| Submitter No. | Submission Point | Submitter Name | Provision No. | Topic | Support / Amend / Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Further submitter no. | Further submission point no. | Submitter Name | Support/Oppose | Decision Requested (Relief Sought) | Planner's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 18 | 18.203 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - Opotiki News, Church Street, | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.204 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - Wakelin Motors (workshop, King Street, | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.205 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - Xx xx , King street, | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.206 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - House, Elliot street, | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 18 | 18.207 | Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley | 14.9.1 | New Item | Oppose | That the following items from the Matthews and Matthews Opotiki Town Centre Historic Heritage Study 2006 are further researched for inclusion in the 14.9.1 Appendix 1-Historic Items: - Young's Butchery, Elliot Street, | | | | | | Accept in part. The item needs to be evaluated individually and its heritage values identified. An updated study is included in Section 14.7 for future action and a plan change would provide the appropriate mechanism for consultation with affected landowners. |
| 24 | 24.89 | Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie | 14.10 | Issues | Amend | Delete 'Poplar Populus deltoides, Outside 148 St John Street' from the list. | | | | | | Accept. The trees are becoming a potential risk through collapse to people and property. Their removal is supported. |
| 32 | 32.1 | Ngai Tama Tim Selwyn | 14.10 | New Trees | Amend | Add native trees on Wellington Street next to the gym | | | | | | Accept in part. The inclusion of the trees needs to be based on research and consultation with landowners and affected parties. Therefore, they may be included when this evaluation has been completed. |
| 32 | 32.2 | Ngai Tama Tim Selwyn | 14.10 | New Trees | Amend | Add Kahikitea tree near drain between Parauta Road and Gault Road | | | | | | Accept in part. The inclusion of the trees needs to be based on research and consultation with landowners and affected parties. Therefore, they may be included when this evaluation has been completed. |