

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
11	11.12	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8	Biosecurity	Amend	Add the following provisions into the Proposed Opotiki District Plan:  Objective: To minimise the risk of biosecurity incursions in the district and enable response to any biosecurity incursions.  Policy: Enable disposal of infected material for biosecurity purposes and treatment of areas to manage incursions of unwanted organisms.  Add the following explanation in the Plan: A Biosecurity incursion could have devastating effects on the wellbeing of the district, particularly the horticultural industry. While incursions are managed under the Biosecurity Act, Council has a role in ensuring that land use activities do not increase the risk in facilitating incursions and to ensure there are not regulatory barriers to the management of incursions, such as burial or removal of infected plants or animals.  Earthworks: Provide as a permitted activity: Earthworks for burying of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.  Vegetation removal: Provide as a permitted activity: Removal of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.	FS3	FS3.11	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support in part	Further refinement for all requests made and subject to the provision of appropriate standards.	Accept in part. A new issue 11 together with a new objective and policy are recommended for inclusion to acknowledge the need to be able to respond rapidly to a potential biosecurity threat.
7	7.13	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.1	Issues	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some issues in response to other submission points.
11	11.43	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.1	Issues	Support in Part	Include a new issue as follows:  Rural production activities are the main activity that occurs within the Rural Zone and needs to be provided for.						Accept in part. The Rural zone includes large areas of indigenous vegetation which is not in rural production. Therefore the issue statement sought is not appropriate to include. However, the role of rural production activities needs to be acknowledged and a new issue is proposed for inclusion.
11	11.44	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.1	Issues	Support in Part	Add a new issue as follows:  Seasonal worker accommodation is an important component for the horticultural sector. For efficiency and social and economic reasons they should be located near the employment source.						Reject. The provision of seasonal worker accommodation does not need to be singled out from rural service and support activities which the plan provides for. No change is made in relation to this submission point, but seasonal worker accommodation is included as a permitted activity in the Rural Zone, as discussed below.
12	12.22	NZ Transport Agency Mathew Stulen	8.1	Issues	Amend	Add new issue to 7.1, 8.1,9.1 & 11.1, as follows:  <u>Activities can adversely affect the safety, sustainability and efficiency of transport network s--</u>						Accept. Activities on sites with frontage to roads in the Rural zone can have an adverse effect on the transport network, which is often a higher speed environment than in the urban areas. The inclusion of an issue is therefore supported.
26	26.2	Whakatohea Research & Archives Trust Salena Christie	8.1	Issues	Support	Be involved in (8.1)						Accept in part. The Council will consult and seek input to processes under the District Plan when appropriate
29	29.4	Upokorehe Iwi Lance Reha & Gaylene Kohunui	8.1	Issues	NS	Would like to be engaged in activities that may have an impact on sites of cultural value in our rohe						Accept in part. The Council will consult and seek input to processes under the District Plan when appropriate
60	60.1	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.1	Issues	Amend	That a new issue is included reading: <u>The principle purpose of the Rural Zone is to provide for primary production, and the right to farm must be protected.</u>	FS3 FS19	FS3.75 FS19.9	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Horticulture NZ	oppose Support in part	Reject submission point  Include a new definition to identify the need to provide for rural production activities	Accept in part. The Rural zone includes large areas of indigenous vegetation which is not in rural production. Therefore the issue statement sought is not appropriate to include. However, the role of rural production activities needs to be acknowledged and a new issue is proposed for inclusion.
8	8.44	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.1.2	Issues	Amend	Combine issues 2 and 10 and add new issue and policy.  An <u>issue not addressed</u> is the effect of rural subdivision on biodiversity, as new residents bring domestic pets and new garden plants that can escape to natural areas. This is already a significant, ongoing problem around Ohiwa where residents and people buying into the existing coastal settlements are known to dump their garden cuttings on the edge of the harbour.  Add another issue and policy to implement it:  Issue: "Rural-residential activities can intensify pest effects on natural heritage"; Policy: "Ensure that new residential activities do not enable the introduction of pests into sites of significant indigenous biodiversity".						Accept in part. The issue aligns also to Chapter 11 Ohiwa Harbour Zone and Chapter 13 Landscapes and Vegetation. A change is recommended to the issues in those chapters.
11	11.42	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.1.2	Issues	Support in Part	Amend 8.1 (2) Residential activities within the zone have the potential to adversely affect the operation of rural production activities in the Rural Zone through reverse sensitivity effects.						Accept in part. While Issue 7 refers to reverse sensitivity effects, residential activities are a specific issue for rural production activities.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
18	18.59	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.1.3	Issues	Support	That Resource Management Issue 3: "The Rural zone comprises a large proportion of multiply owned Maori Land and The Plan needs to allow opportunities for the development of their land" is retained.	FS3	FS3.76	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
36	36.4	First Gas Limited Hywel Edwards	8.1.6	Issues	Support	Retain Issue 6.						Accept. Support is noted.
39	39.8	Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney	8.1.6	Issues	Support	(i) Retain Clause 6.						Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.45	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.1.9	Issues	Support in Part	Amend 8.1. (9) as follows:  The versatility and use of the rural land resource for rural production activities can be degraded and fragmented as a result of inappropriate subdivision and development	FS3	FS3.77	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. The amended wording provides a clear statement of the issue in relation to subdivision and development and the rural land resource.
8	8.45	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.1.10	Issues	Amend	Combine issues 2 and 10 and add new issue and policy.  An <u>issue not addressed</u> is the effect of rural subdivision on biodiversity, as new residents bring domestic pets and new garden plants that can escape to natural areas. This is already a significant, ongoing problem around Ohiwa where residents and people buying into the existing coastal settlements are known to dump their garden cuttings on the edge of the harbour.  Add another issue and policy to implement it:  Issue: "Rural-residential activities can intensify pest effects on natural heritage"; Policy: "Ensure that new residential activities do not enable the introduction of pests into sites of significant indigenous biodiversity".	FS11	FS11.1	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. The issue aligns also to Chapter 11 Ohiwa Harbour Zone and Chapter 13 Landscapes and Vegetation. A change is recommended to the issues in those chapters.
12	12.26	NZ Transport Agency Mathew Stulen	8.2	Objective	Amend	Add a new objective and policy to 7.2, 8.2, 9.2 & 11.2, which recognises the implementation of the revised issue. Suggest that this is consistent with other chapters (such as 4.2.3 above).  Add new objective: <u>Manage activities to ensure vehicle movement is undertaken in a safe and efficient manner that does not adversely affect the functioning of the transport network.</u>  Add new policy: <u>To avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects of Rural Zone activities on the safety and efficiency of the transportation network.</u>	FS11	FS11.2	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. The objective and policy are included in this Chapter as requested. Reference is also included in Chapter 17 which addresses network utilities and infrastructure.
49	49.1	Whakatane District Council Melanie McCormick	8.2	Objective	Support	Support Objective and Policies						Accept in part. While the support of the submitter is acknowledged, it is noted that changes may be made in response to other submission points.
8	8.46	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.1	Objective	Support	Retain						Accept in part. While the support of the submitter is acknowledged, it is noted that changes may be made in response to other submission points.
10	10.2	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.2.1	Objective	Support	Retain Objective 8.2.1 and associated policies						Accept in part. While the support of the submitter is acknowledged, it is noted that changes may be made in response to other submission points.
11	11.53	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1	Policies	Amend	Add a new policy under renamed Objective 8.2.2:  Enable disposal of infected material for biosecurity purposes and treatment of areas to manage incursions of unwanted organisms.						Accept in part. A new objective and policy have been recommended for inclusion in relation to responses to biosecurity incursions, as discussed above.
11	11.54	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1	Policies	Amend	Rename Objective 8.2.1 as Objective 8.2.2 and add a new policy under renamed Objective 8.2.2:  To provide for rural industry and rural services directly related to rural production activities to locate in the rural zone where they have a functional need to locate in that zone.						Accept in part. Reordering the objectives is not supported as the primary purpose of the economic and social wellbeing of the District is considered to be appropriate as the first objective. However, the inclusion of a policy to support rural industry and services is consistent with the objective for the economic and social wellbeing of the District.
39	39.9	Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney	8.2.1	Objective	Support in part	(i) Amend the objective as follows: <i>A rural environment that contributes to the economic and social wellbeing of the District and region through a range of rural and other lawfully established activities, where the effects of activities are managed to maintain the rural character of the zone.</i>						Accept in part. The objective is amended in relation to other submissions to clarify the focus of the objective.
60	60.2	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.1	Objective	Support / Amend	That Objective 8.2.1 is amended to read: <i>A rural environment that contributes to the economic and social wellbeing of the District and region through a range of rural activities, where the effects of primary production activities are managed to maintain-acknowledged as contributing to, the rural character of the zone.</i>	FS19	FS19.10	Horticulture NZ	Support in part	Amend to better reflect the importance of primary production in the rural zone.	Reject. The management of the effects of activities in the rural zone does not relate solely to rural production activities. Hence a broader focus needs to be retained in the objective.
8	8.50	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.1.1	Policies	Amend	Delete 8.2.1.5 and amend to read:  Recognise the Rural Zone as a working rural environment and ensure that residential or sensitive activities do not result in reverse sensitivity effects on rural production activities <u>and infrastructure</u> .	FS16	FS16.1	Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	Support	Accept submission point	Accept in part. While policies 8.2.1.1 and 8.2.1.5 could be combined, the two policies have a slightly different focus. The inclusion of the reference to sensitive activities is supported but not the deletion of policy 8.2.1.5.
10	10.3	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.2.1.1	Policies	Support	Retain Objective 8.2.1 and associated policies						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
11	11.48	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1.1	Policies	Support in Part	Rename Policy 8.2.1.1 as Policy 8.2.2.1 and amend as follows:  Recognise the Rural Zone as a working rural production environment and manage non rural production activities, including rural residential activities, including through the use of separation distances, to ensure that they do not result in reverse sensitivity effects on rural production activities.						Accept in part. The policy has been amended in relation to other submission points. The identification of separation distances is supported but is not the only consideration in applying the policy.
60	60.3	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.1.1	Policies	Support	That Policy 8.2.1.1 is retained.						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
8	8.47	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.1.2	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
11	11.49	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1.2	Policies	Support in Part	Rename Policy 8.2.1.2 as Policy 8.2.2.2 and amend as follows:  Manage subdivision and development to maintain the rural character of the zone and the District's natural and physical resources.						Accept. The amendments sought clarify the focus of the policy.
60	60.4	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.1.2	Policies	Support	That Policy 8.2.1.2 is retained.						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
8	8.48	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.1.3	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.50	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1.3	Policies	Oppose in part	Delete Policy 8.2.1.3						Reject. The character of the Rural Zone includes well vegetated landscape and open space. The deletion of the policy is not supported.
8	8.49	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.1.4	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.51	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1.4	Policies	Oppose in part	Delete Policy 8.2.1.4						Reject. The low density scale of development is characteristic of the Rural Zone. The deletion of the policy is not supported.
8	8.51	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.1.5	Policies	Amend	Delete and amend 8.2.1.1 to read:  Recognise the Rural Zone as a working rural environment and ensure that residential or sensitive activities do not result in reverse sensitivity effects on rural production activities <u>and infrastructure</u> .	FS4 FS11	FS4.2 FS11.3	Transpower NZ Ltd Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose Oppose	Disallow Reject submission point	Reject. While the submission has been accepted in part in relation to submission 8.50, combining policies 8.2.1.1 and 8.2.1.5 would lose the different focus of the policies.
10	10.4	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.2.1.5	Policies	Support	Retain Objective 8.2.1 and associated policies						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
11	11.52	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.1.5	Policies	Support in Part	Rename Policy 8.2.1.5 as Policy 8.2.2.3						Reject. The reordering of the objective and policies is not supported as Objective 8.2.1 sets the focus on the social and economic wellbeing of the District.
36	36.5	First Gas Limited Hywel Edwards	8.2.1.5	Policies	Support	Retain Policy 8.2.1.5						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
39	39.10	Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney	8.2.1.5	Policies	Support in part	(i) Amend policy 8.2.1.5 as follows: <i>Ensure that sensitive activities, including new residential activities, and other inappropriate activities, that may lead to reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established activities, including infrastructure, are appropriately located and managed.</i>	FS11 FS19	FS11.4 FS19.11	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ) Horticulture NZ	Oppose Oppose in part	Reject submission point Ensure the policy framework is clear and avoids duplication.	Reject. The policy refers to sensitive activities and there is no requirement to include reference to "inappropriate activities", which could detract from the clear focus of the policy. The meaning of "inappropriate" could be confusing.
60	60.5	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.1.5	Policies	Support / Amend	That Policy 8.2.1.5 is amended to read: <i>Ensure that sensitive activities, including new residential activities, that may lead to reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established rural activities, including infrastructure, are appropriately located and managed.</i>	FS16	FS16.2	Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	Support	Accept submission point	Reject. The policy refers to all lawfully established activities and the qualification by "rural" is not required.
11	11.46	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.2	Objective	Support in Part	Amend Objective 8.2.2 as follows:  Rename as Objective 8.2.1  To enable the use of the rural land resource, for rural production activities, including sustaining the productive potential of versatile land, and ensuring that non-rural production activities do not compromise the ability to use the land for rural production purposes.						Accept in part. The reordering of the objectives is not supported as set out above this objective and its policies refer to versatile land only and the broader focus is addressed through the other objective. Reference to all non-rural production activities is not supported because there are valid non-rural production activities in the zone, such as the conservation estate and other reserves.
17	17.126	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.2	Objective	Support	Retain Objective 8.2.2.						Accept. Support is noted.
60	60.6	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.2	Objective	Support	That Objective 8.2.2 is retained.						Accept. Support is noted.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
11	11.55	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.2.1	Policies	Support in Part	Rename Policy 8.2.2.1 as Policy 8.2.1.1 and amend as follows:  Subdivision, use and development should not result in a significant reduction of land use options for rural production land, including versatile land, or increase reverse sensitivity effects on rural production activities.						Reject. A reference to "rural land resource" is not recommended as this objective and policies relate to versatile land only.
17	17.127	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.2.1	Policies	Support / Amend	Amend policy 8.2.2.1 to read:  <i>Subdivision, use and development should not result in a significant reduction of <u>productive rural</u> land use options for versatile land or increase reverse sensitivity effects on rural production activities</i>	FS19	FS19.12	Horticulture NZ	Oppose in part	Reject submission point	Accept in part. A reference to "productive rural" land use options is recommended, to be consistent with the focus on versatile land.
11	11.56	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.2.2	Policies	Support in Part	Rename Policy 8.2.2.2 as Policy 8.2.1.2 and amend as follows:  The rural land resource, including versatile land, should be used and developed in a manner that it remains available to present and future generations for rural production activities and not compromised by activities that do not rely on the productive potential of the land						Accept in part. The reordering of the objective and policies is not supported as discussed above. The combination of the policies will provide a clearer focus to the policy which relates to versatile land.
17	17.128	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.2.2	Policies	Support / Amend	Retain Policy 8.2.2.2 as notified.						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
11	11.57	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.2.3	Policies	Oppose in part	Delete Policy 8.2.2.3						Accept. The policy is recommended to be combined with Policy 8.2.2.2.
17	17.129	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.2.3	Policies	Support / Amend	Amend Policy 8.2.2.3 to read:  <i>The productive potential of versatile land should not be compromised by <u>non-rural production</u> activities that do not rely on the productive potential of the land.</i>	FS19	FS19.13	Horticulture NZ	Oppose in part	Reject submission point	Accept in part. The policy can be combined with Policy 8.2.2.2 which addresses a similar point.
60	60.7	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.2.3	Policies	Support / Amend	That Policy 8.2.2.3 is amended to read <i>The productive potential of versatile land should not be compromised by activities that do not rely on <u>or directly support</u> the productive potential of the land.</i>						Accept. This amended wording makes it clear that some support activities will be appropriate.
10	10.5	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.2.3	Objective	Support	Retain Objective 8.2.3 Policy 8.2.3.1						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
11	11.59	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.3	Objective	Support in Part	Amend Objective 8.2.3  Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse environmental effects of activities undertaken within the Rural Zone where Zone Standards cannot be met.						Reject. Zone standards are set to achieve the purpose of this objective and policies and to guide decision making. Therefore, it is broader than the wording change sought. Changes are made in response to other submission points.
57	57.73	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.2.3	Objective	Support / Amend	Add a new policy 8.2.3.5 as follows: "8.2.3.5 The mitigation or avoidance of potential adverse effects such as noise on noise-sensitive activities on other sites within the zone or within other zones."	FS11	FS11.5	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. The inclusion of a policy in relation to amenity, including noise, is appropriate.
60	60.8	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.3	Objective	Support / Amend	That Objective 8.2.3 is amended to read <i>Avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse environmental effects of activities undertaken within the Rural Zone, <u>while recognising and appropriately providing for primary production and associated effects.</u></i>	FS16 FS19	FS16.4 FS19.14	Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Horticulture NZ	Support Support in part	Accept submission point Accept submission point	Accept in part. The addition of a reference to rural production is accepted as appropriate, but consistent terminology should be used. Hence the reference recommended is to rural production rather than primary activities.
10	10.6	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.2.3.1	Policies	Support	Retain Objective 8.2.3 Policy 8.2.3.2	FS3	FS3.78	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.60	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.3.1	Policies	Support in Part	Retain Policy 8.2.3.1 but un-bold 'industry' and amend the definition of industry to 'industrial activity' as sought in Schedule 11 of this submission.						Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. Refer elsewhere to discussion re industry/ industrial activity.
50	50.18	Z Energy Limited Karen Blair	8.2.3.1	Policies	Support	Retain as notified						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
57	57.74	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.2.3.1	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged, but it is noted that changes have been made to some wording in response to other submission points.
60	60.9	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.3.1	Policies	Support / Amend	That Policy 8.2.3.1 is amended to read: <i>Provide for <u>Recognise that the use, storage and transport of hazardous substances that is compliant with the requirements of the relevant legislation and industry standards does not need District Plan regulation.</u></i>	FS19	FS19.15	Horticulture NZ	Support in part	Accept submission point	Accept in part. The Plan does not set standards in relation to hazardous substances and the change is appropriate.
57	57.75	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.2.3.2	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
60	60.11	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.3.2	Policies	Amend	That Policy 8.2.3.2 clarifies that it refers to domestic and intensive farming effluent only.						Accept in part. The qualification is consistent with the intent of the policy.
8	8.52	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.3.3	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
57	57.76	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.2.3.3	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
60	60.12	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.2.3.3	Policies	Amend	That Policy 8.2.3.3 clarifies that it refers to screening of industrial or commercial storage and service areas.	FS19	FS19.16	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept in part. The qualification is consistent with the intent of the policy.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
7	7.14	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.2.3.4	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
8	8.53	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.2.3.4	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
11	11.61	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.3.4	Policies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.2.3.4 as follows:  Mitigate adverse effects through the use of measures such as landscaping, screening or separation distances from adjoining activities where Zone Standards are unable to be met and the residential activity was established prior to the adjoining activity.						Accept in part. The intent is that the policy refers to new activities in relation to established dwellings and this should be clarified.
17	17.132	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.3.4	Policies	Amend	Amend Policy 8.3.2.4 to read:  <i>Mitigation of the Avoid, as a priority, potential adverse reverse sensitivity effects on amenity of new dwellings or incompatible activities on rural production activities and the operation of infrastructure. Where avoidance isn't practicable, use these mitigation measures including landscaping, screening and separation distances from adjoining activities and waterbodies.</i>	FS19	FS19.17	Horticulture NZ	Oppose in part	Reject submission point.	Accept in part. The intent is that the policy refers to new activities in relation to established dwellings and this should be clarified. Reverse sensitivity effects are addressed through Objective 8.2.1 above.
57	57.77	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.2.3.4	Policies	Support	Retain						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
17	17.62	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.4	Objective	Support / Amend	Retain Objective 8.2.4, Policy 8.2.4.1 and Policy 8.2.4.2 as notified.						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
18	18.6	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.2.4	Objective	Support	That Objective 8.2.4 is retained.						Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.63	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.4.1	Policies	Support / Amend	Retain Objective 8.2.4, Policy 8.2.4.1 and Policy 8.2.4.2 as notified.						Accept. Support is noted.
18	18.61	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.2.4.1	Policies	Support	That Policy 8.2.4.1 is retained.						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
32	32.9	Ngai Tama Tim Selwyn	8.2.4.1	Policies	Amend	Add "and to protect the natural environment of their ancestral lands"						Accept. The addition is supported.
11	11.58	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.2.4.2	Policies	Support	Retain Policy 8.2.4.2						Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.64	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.2.4.2	Policies	Support / Amend	Retain Objective 8.2.4, Policy 8.2.4.1 and Policy 8.2.4.2 as notified.						Accept in part. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. It is noted that some changes have been made in response to other submission points.
18	18.62	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.2.4.2	Policies	Support	That Policy 8.2.4.2 is retained.						Accept. Support is noted.
18	18.9	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.3	Status	Oppose	That the ODC PDP is amended to include the following advice note: <i>"It should be noted that all pre 1900 sites, recorded or unrecorded are protected under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act and Heritage New Zealand should be consulted prior to proposed works to identify the need for an archaeological authority"</i> in all chapters of the ODC PDP under the heading Activity Status, except in the Heritage Chapter, which already contains a similar note.						Reject. Chapter 14 Heritage contains references to the statutory requirement for pre 1900 sites and Chapter 14 is cross referenced in the header to activity status in all chapters. Inclusion of an additional note is not considered to be necessary.
36	36.6	First Gas Limited Hywel Edwards	8.3	Status	Support	Retain the following statement: Network Utilities are subject to the provisions in Chapter 17 and are not subject to the Rules in this Chapter.						Accept. Support is noted.
39	39.11	Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney	8.3	Status	Support	(i) Retain the above clause.						Accept. Support is noted.
39	39.30	Transpower NZ Ltd Pauline Whitney	8.3	Status	Support in part	(i) Amend the clause as follows: <i>Network utilities are subject to the provisions in Chapter 17 and are not subject to the Zone Standards Rules in this Chapter.</i> (ii) Insert the above clause in other zone chapters as appropriate.						Accept. Chapter 8 contains this reference.
50	50.12	Z Energy Limited Karen Blair	8.3.1	Status	Amend	On the basis that the definitions of Industry and Rural Industry provide for the airport and associated fuel facility at Opotiki airport insert a new permitted activity as follows: <i>##. Airport and Rural Industries within the Airport Protection Policy Area at Opotiki Airport as depicted on the Planning Maps.</i> <i>OR</i> <i>Insert a new permitted activity in rule 8.3.1 providing for the airfield and ancillary uses to read as follows:</i> <i>##. Airport and ancillary uses including fuel facilities (storage and dispensing) within the Airport Protection Policy Area at Opotiki Airport as depicted on the Planning Maps.</i>						Accept. A new rule is included in the list of Permitted Activities.
10	10.7	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.3.1.1	Status	Support	Retain production forestry as a permitted activity in the rural zone						Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.62	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.1.1	Status	Support in Part	Amend 8.3.1.1 by deleting 'Industrial' and replacing with 'Rural'.						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
11	11.65	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.1.1	Status	Support in Part	Add to 8.3.1.1 the following: - Artificial crop protection structures which comply with 8.6.5 - Audible bird scarers which comply with 8.6.7.1 (1) - Frost fans which comply with 8.6.7.1 (2) - Seasonal worker accommodation which complies with 8.6.16 - Rural selling places which comply with 8.6.17 - Removal of material infected by unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993						Accept in part. Seasonal workers accommodation is listed as a permitted activity, with a new definition to be included in Chapter 19. The audible bird scarers and frost fans have standards in 8.6 and therefore their status needs to be explicit. Rural selling places are more appropriately considered as restricted discretionary activities within the Rural Zone where the effects on adjacent sites and the road network can be evaluated.
24	24.55	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.1.1	Status	Amend	Amend "...the industrial zone,..." To the rural zone ..."						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.
32	32.1	Ngai Tama Tim Selwyn	8.3.1.1	Status	Amend	"Industrial Zone" - you mean Rural Zone?						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.
37	37.46	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.3.1.1	Status	Oppose	Amend Rule 8.3.1.1 to include the following as a permitted activity: "x. Emergency services training and management activities."						Accept. The addition is appropriate.
37	37.47	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.3.1.1	Status	Oppose	Amend Rule 8.3.1.1 to include the following as a controlled activity: "x. Emergency service facilities."						Accept. The addition is appropriate.
40	40.2	NZDFA - Bay of Plenty Lindsay Fung	8.3.1.1	Status	Oppose	Delete Clause 3 of 8.3.1.1: 8.3.1.1 Subject to compliance with the Zone Standards in Section 8.6, the following activities may be established in the Industrial Zone, without resource consent, 3. Deer farming	FS3	FS3.45	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Management of deer farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management.
42	42.3	Rayonier Matariki Forests Steve Chandler	8.3.1.1	Status	Support	Retain as notified. Note there is an error in the first sentence of 8.3.1.1 as it states the following activities are permitted in the industrial zone, but this is the rural zone chapter.						Accept. Support is noted.
49	49.18	Whakatane District Council Melanie McCormick	8.3.1.1	Status	Amend	Amend text to refer to "Rural Zone" not "Industrial Zone"						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.
11	11.63	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.1.1.1	Status	Support in Part	Amend 8.3.1.1 (1) to Rural production activities including farming	FS3	FS3.3	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Rural production activities include mining and quarrying, processing and intensive farming. These activities need to be managed appropriately to reduce adverse effects on the environment and other activities established in the rural area. Therefore, permitted activity status for rural production activities as defined is not supported.
60	60.13	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.1.1.1	Status	Amend	That Rule 8.3.1.1.1 is amended to provide for both Farming and Rural Production Activities as permitted.	FS3	FS3.4	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Rural production activities include mining and quarrying, processing and intensive farming. These activities need to be managed appropriately to reduce adverse effects on the environment and other activities established in the rural area. Therefore, permitted activity status for rural production activities as defined is not supported.
60	60.14	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.1.1.2	Status	Amend	That goat farming is included under the definition of farming or rural production activity, and therefore permitted by Rule 8.3.1.1.1.	FS3	FS3.31	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Management of goat farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management.
60	60.15	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.1.1.3	Status	Amend	That Deer farming is included under the definition of farming or rural production activity, and therefore permitted by Rule 8.3.1.1.1.	FS3	FS3.42	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Management of deer farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management.
60	60.16	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.1.1.4	Status	Support	That production forestry is permitted.	FS3	FS3.137	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
47	47.10	Jon Burchett	8.3.1.1.5	Status	Amend	Add: "(c) Additions to existing dwelling"	FS17	FS17.18	Chris Petersen	Support	Accept submission point	Accept in part. No change is required as additions to an existing dwelling do not require resource consent unless performance standards are not met. In this case an application would need to be made to Council.
49	49.2	Whakatane District Council Melanie McCormick	8.3.1.1.5	Status	Oppose	Provide the following: - Maximum of 1 dwelling in the Rural Zone (with 4ha minimum lot size); or - Provision for more than one dwelling (with a minimum lot size significantly larger than 4ha); or - Other provision that manage reverse sensitivity on rural production activities; - Define and provide for Accessory Buildings for Habitation the same or similar to that defined in the Proposed Whakatane District Plan. Including performance standards similar to Rule 7.2.4 in the Proposed Whakatane District Plan.	FS19	FS19.18	Horticulture NZ	Support in part	Ensure adequate provisions to manage reverse sensitivity on rural production activities.	Reject. Enabling development of houses on rural properties has been an important role for the Opotiki District Plan as the economic and social expectations differ from those in the Whakatane District. The potential reverse sensitivity effects are addressed through the subdivision provisions in Chapter 15 and the performance standards in this chapter. Therefore, no change is recommended.
47	47.8	Jon Burchett	8.3.1.1.7	Status	Amend	Amend to read: Visitor accommodation for up to four persons per night						Reject. The qualification that visitor accommodation is provided for as a permitted activity only in existing authorised buildings is important to manage the scale and effects of such activity on adjacent properties and the environment. The establishment of a complying building is an important first requirement where no consent is required.
19	19.11	NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies	8.3.1.1.13	Status	Support in Part	Retain permitted activity rule for TMTA, and include a statement to clarify that the other zone standards do not apply to TMTA (note: this appears to be the intent of the provisions but is not entirely clear in the rule framework). We suggest amending the introduction to the zone standards in each chapter (section 8.6 in the Rural Zone for example) to state – "For clarity, the zone standards shall not apply to temporary military training activities."						Accept in part. A proviso is added to the activity listed in 8.3.1.1.13.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
57	57.59	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.3.1.1.13	Status	Support	Allow the provision for temporary military training as a permitted activity complying with noise controls in Appendix 3.						Accept. Support is noted.
60	60.17	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.1.1.17	Status	Amend	That signs required under legislation are permitted.	FS16	FS16.5	Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	Support	Accept submission point	Accept in part. The District Plan only needs to impose controls on signs where statute allows. Rather than amend the rule, a change to the definition of sign exempts such signs from the scope of the rules in the Plan.
10	10.8	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.3.1.1.18	Status	Support	Retain permitted activity 8.3.1.1, 18	FS19	FS19.19	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
10	10.9	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.3.1.1.19	Status	Support	Retain permitted activity 8.3.1.1, 19						Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.64	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.1.1.19	Status	Support in Part	Retain 8.3.1.1 (19) Buildings and activities accessory to a permitted activity						Accept. Support is noted.
18	18.63	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.3.1.6	Status	Support	That the permitted activities of 6.Marae and 9.Urupa are retained.						Accept. Support is noted.
18	18.64	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.3.1.9	Status	Support	That the permitted activities of 6.Marae and 9.Urupa are retained.						Accept. Support is noted.
10	10.11	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.3.2	Status	Neutral	Include a provision to provide for activities that would otherwise be permitted but do not meet one or more of the zone standards, as a controlled activity with control restricted to effects associated with the zone standard that has not been met.						Reject. Permitted and Controlled Activities are required to comply with the performance standards unless otherwise specified. Non-compliance must therefore be a Restricted Discretionary Activity and is provided for in the listed activities. No change is therefore recommended.
11	11.7	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.2	Status	Amend	To include provisions for seasonal worker accommodation						Accept. Provision for seasonal worker accommodation for more than 12 people is recommended as a Controlled Activity. Refer also to the Section 42A Overview Report for discussion.
24	24.11	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.2	Status	Amend	Refer to compliance with Appendix 3 - Provide for temporary military training activities as a controlled activity in chapters 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10. Add matters of control where required.	FS15	FS15.5	NZ Defence Force (NZDF)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. Matters of control are recommended for inclusion.
11	11.66	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.2.1	Status	Support in Part	Retain 8.3.2.1						Accept. Support is noted.
13	13.8	Overington Surveyors Karl Rendall	8.3.2.1	Status	Oppose	7(b) A report by a suitably qualified person approved by the Bay of Plenty Regional Council is provided to show that the site complies with the On-site Effluent Treatment Regional Plan.						Accept in part. Reference to "a suitably qualified and experienced person" is recommended in response to another submission point (below).
17	17.79	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.3.2.1.7	Status	Amend	Amend 8.3.2.1.7 (b) and 11.3.2.1 (b) to read:  A report by a Suitably Qualified and Experienced Person Chartered Professional Engineer is provided to show that the site complies with the On-Site Effluent Treatment Regional Plan.						Accept. This reference is appropriate to ensure that the correct expertise is obtained, while recognising the availability of the pool of suitably qualified and experienced people to provide advice.
8	8.54	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.3.2.6	Status	Amend	Change status to Restricted Discretionary and move relevant matters for control to Restricted Discretionary Assessment Criteria.						Reject. The Plan has enabled development of papakainga through the span of the Operative District Plan without adverse effects on the environment arising. The matters of control enable adequate management of the development of the site. No change is recommended.
18	18.65	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.3.2.6	Status	Support	That the Controlled Activities 6. Papakainga, and 7. Papakainga, are retained.						Accept. Support is noted.
18	18.66	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.3.2.7	Status	Support	That the Controlled Activities 6. Papakainga, and 7. Papakainga, are retained.						Accept. Support is noted.
11	11.67	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.3	Status	Amend	Add to the list of Restricted Discretionary activities:  - Rural Industries - Artificial Crop protection structures not complying with 8.6.5 - Audible bird scaring devices not complying with 8.6.7.1 (1) - Frost fans not complying with 8.6.7.1 (2) - Seasonal worker accommodation not complying with 8.6.16						Accept in part. It is appropriate to list these activities are restricted discretionary where standards are not complied with. However, rural industries are more appropriately managed as a Discretionary activity so that location and potential effects can be considered as a whole.
11	11.69	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.3.1	Status	Oppose in part	Amend 8.3.4.1 by deleting the following activities and listing in 8.3.3.1 as Restricted Discretionary Activities:  1. Rural Industries 3.. Artificial Crop protection structures not complying with 8.6.6						Accept in part. It is appropriate to list artificial crop protection structures as a restricted discretionary where standards are not complied with. However, rural industries are more appropriately managed as a Discretionary activity so that location and potential effects can be considered as a whole.
24	24.57	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	Add as a new listed restricted discretionary activities: 'Audible bird scaring devices not meeting the Noise standard in 8.6.7.1' Include in 8.5.1 matters over which Council restricts its discretion	FS19	FS19.20	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point.	Accept in part. It is appropriate to list these activities are restricted discretionary where standards are not complied with.
24	24.58	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	Add as a new listed restricted discretionary activities: 'Activities not complying with 8.6.4.3 (Building setback from waterbodies)' Include in 8.5.1 matters over which Council restricts its discretion						Accept in part. There are restricted discretions identified
24	24.59	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	Add as a new listed restricted discretionary activities: 'Activities not complying with 8.6.4.4 (Building setback from forestry)' Include in 8.5.1 matters over which Council restricts its discretion	FS16	FS16.6	Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	Support	Accept submission point	Accept in part. There are restricted discretions identified
24	24.60	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	Add as a new listed restricted discretionary activities: 'Activities not complying with 8.6.7.2 (lighting and Glare)' Include in 8.5.1 matters over which Council restricts its discretion						Accept in part. It is appropriate to list these activities are restricted discretionary where standards are not complied with.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
36	36.7	First Gas Limited Hywel Edwards	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	New Restricted Discretionary Activity in Chapter 8.3.3.1:  <u>5. Buildings and activities that do not comply with zone standard 8.6.16.</u>  <i>Note: First Gas Ltd will be identified as an affected party for buildings and activities requiring resource consent for breaching Rural Zone standard 8.6.16.</i>						Accept in part. Non-compliance with setbacks from newwork utilities is more appropriately addressed in Chapter 17 and that report should be referred to.
60	60.18	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	That rural selling places are permitted.						Reject. Restricted discretionary status enables matters such as safe access, relationship to other activities and potential adverse effects to be assessed and managed appropriately. A change in status is not supported.
60	60.19	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.3.1	Status	Amend	That goat farming is permitted.	FS3	FS3.35	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Management of goat farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management.
8	8.6	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.3.3.1.4	Status	Amend	Delete 8.3.3.1.4.						Accept. Goat farming that is otherwise not permitted is listed as a discretionary activity, which enables more appropriate control.
24	24.56	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.3.1.4	Status	Amend	Delete 8.3.3.1.4						Accept. Goat farming that is otherwise not permitted is listed as a discretionary activity, which enables more appropriate control.
7	7.15	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.3.3.1.4	Status	Support; clarification	Clarify the relationship between rules 8.3.3.1.4 & 8.3.4.1.4, and retain a rule of at least restricted discretionary status for the keeping or farming of goats not provided for as a permitted activity.	FS11	FS11.7	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Goat farming that is otherwise not permitted is listed as a discretionary activity, which enables more appropriate control.
10	10.10	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.3.4.1	Status	Oppose	Provide for a maximum volume of material that can be quarried as a permitted activity, and Provide an exemption for the quarrying of materials (rock, gravel, sand etc) in the rural zone for use on the same site as a permitted activity where all other conditions can be met.  OR  Alternatively expand the definition of production forestry to include for earthworks and quarrying for use on site within the definition of production forestry.	FS3	FS3.50	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support in part	Does not support earthworks and on-site quarrying being included in the definition of production forestry.	Accept. A change to the definition is recommended to include ancillary activities that are integral to production forestry activities. Earthworks and quarrying are addressed through and managed by the BOP Regional Water and Land Plan and an additional layer of control is only required where there are special characteristics or sensitivity, such as in ONFLs.
11	11.68	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.3.4.1	Status	Oppose in part	Amend 8.3.4.1 by deleting the following activities and listing in 8.3.3.1 as Restricted Discretionary Activities:  1. Rural Industries 3.. Artificial Crop protection structures not complying with 8.6.5						Accept in part. It is appropriate to list artificial crop protection structures as a restricted discretionary where standards are not complied with. However, rural industries are more appropriately managed as a Discretionary activity so that location and potential effects can be considered as a whole.
8	8.56	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.3.4.1.4	Status	Support	Retain	FS3	FS3.36	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
60	60.15	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.4.1.4	Status	Amend	That Rule 8.3.4.1.4 is deleted						Reject. Goat farming that is otherwise not permitted should be a discretionary activity, as this enables more appropriate control.
7	7.16	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.3.4.1.5	Status	Support; clarification	Clarify the relationship between rules 8.3.3.1.4 & 8.3.4.1.4, and retain a rule of at least restricted discretionary status for the keeping or farming of goats not provided for as a permitted activity.	FS11	FS11.8	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Goat farming that is otherwise not permitted should be a discretionary activity, as this enables more appropriate control.
41	41.15	Ministry of Education Andrew Hill	8.3.4.1.7	Status	Support	Retain as notified						Accept. Support is noted.
60	60.149	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.3.4.1.15	Status	Amend	That on-farm quarries are excluded from the definition of <i>Quarrying</i> and therefore not a discretionary activity under Rule 8.3.4.1.15, and On-farm quarries are permitted.	FS3	FS3.51	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support in part	Supports the exclusion for on-site farm quarries but only subject to performance standards consistent with those on the Proposed Whakatāne District Plan rule 11.2.4.1	Accept in part. The inclusion of accessory activities to farming through an amended definition is supported. This is addressed in the report on Chapter 19.
19	19.37	NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies	8.3.5.1.2	Status	Oppose	Provide for TMTA that do not comply with the noise standards as controlled activities in each Zone.						Accept - TMTA not complying with Appendix 3 are recommended for inclusion in response to a submission point above.
7	7.17	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.3.6	Status	Support	Retain these prohibited activities	FS3	FS3.80	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
24	24.61	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.3.6.1.2	Status	Amend	Amend to refer to all of the species -delete "faro"						Accept. This change is considered to be acceptable and appropriate.
17	17.8	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.4	Heading	Amend	Amend Chapters 2 to 18 (excluding Chapter 16) to insert a new heading: <i>'Matters over which control is reserved'</i> before the heading <i>'Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities'</i> . Copy 'matters' to the new 'Matters' heading. Amend the list of criteria so it includes only criteria. Replicate this process for RDA activities having inserted a new heading <i>'Matters over which discretion is restricted.'</i>	FS19	FS19.21	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. The correct terminology should be used consistently through the Plan.
18	18.67	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.4	Criteria CA	Support in part	That Assessment Criteria 8.4.1.4 are retained subject to clarification regarding the nature of the items to be considered as part of the assessment criteria, in particular those items or features of historical or archaeological worth.						Accept. The terminology has been clarified in response to another submission.
8	8.55	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.4.1	Criteria CA	Amend	Include an additional criterion <i>"whether the site has the capacity for the number of dwellings"</i> .	FS11	FS11.9	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. Consideration of the minimum site area required would be appropriate.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
11	11.70	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.4.1.2	Criteria CA	Support in Part	Retain 8.4.1.2						Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.24	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.4.1.4	Criteria CA	Support / Amend	Amend assessment criteria within Chapters 2 to 11 as they relate to <i>Historical and archaeological and cultural resources</i> to read:  <i>Historical, archaeological and cultural values and resources</i>  <i>2. The effects of the activity on historic, archaeological and cultural values and resources of importance to Māori.</i>	FS18	FS18.9	Heritage NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. This addition is accepted as appropriate and relevant.
7	7.18	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.4.1.5	Criteria CA	Support	Retain	FS3	FS3.81	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.44	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.4.1.6	Criteria CA	Support / Amend	Amend as a 'Matter of Control' in Chapters 2 to 8 (as listed in the index column) :  <i>The susceptibility of the site to natural hazards and the measures implemented to mitigate the effects of natural hazards and achieve a low level of risk.</i>	FS	FS9.7	Department of Conservation	Support in part	Amend so that an increase in the risk of adverse effects from coastal hazards is avoided, rather than achieving a low level of risk.	Accept in part. Rather than a low level of risk, the wording is changed to avoiding an increase in the level of risk, until a clear process is available.
60	60.10	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.4.1.7	Criteria CA	Amend	That Matter for Controlled Activities 8.4.1.7 is deleted.						Accept. There is no activity listed which requires such consideration.
60	60.20	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.4.1.7	Criteria CA	Amend	That Matter for Controlled Activities 8.4.1.7 is deleted.	FS19	FS19.22	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. There is no activity listed which requires such consideration.
18	18.24	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.5	Criteria RDA	Oppose	That the restricted discretionary assessment criteria are amended to include assessment criteria related to the impact of restricted discretionary activities on historic heritage.	FS3	FS3.82	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Reject. There is no listed restricted discretionary activity in relation to historic heritage
11	11.74	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.5.1	Criteria RDA	Amend	Add new matter of discretion for seasonal worker accommodation as follows:  8.5.1.7 Seasonal worker accommodation not meeting 8.6.16  1. Those matters in Zone Standard 8.6.16 that are not able to be met.  2. Methods to avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects on existing activities, including the provision of screening, landscaping and methods for noise control.  2. The extent to which the application complies with the Code of Practice for Able Bodied Seasonal Workers, published by Dept of Building and Housing 2008.						Accept. As seasonal worker accommodation is listed as restricted discretionary activity, the inclusion of the discretion reserved is appropriate.
11	11.75	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.5.1	Criteria RDA	Amend	Add new matter of discretion for artificial crop protection structures as follows:  8.5.1.8 artificial crop protection structures not meeting 8.6.5  1. Those matters in Zone Standard 8.6.5 that are not able to be met.						Accept. As artificial crop protection structures are listed as restricted discretionary activity, the inclusion of the discretion reserved is appropriate.
11	11.76	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.5.1	Criteria RDA	Amend	Add new matter of discretion for rural industries as follows Rural industries  1. The functional need to be located in the Rural Zone 2. Parking provisions 3. Screening of storage areas from adjacent dwellings and public places 4. Noise 5. Lighting 6. Effects on rural character						Reject. The change for Rural Industries from Discretionary to Restricted Discretionary is not supported, as discussed above.
36	36.8	First Gas Limited Hywel Edwards	8.5.1	Criteria RDA	Amend	New Assessment Criteria for Restricted Discretionary Activities under Chapter 8.5.1: <u>8.5.1.7 Above ground incidental equipment associated with the Gas Transmission Pipeline</u>  <u>1. Effects on the safe, effective and efficient operation, maintenance, replacement and upgrade of the above ground incidental equipment and the Gas Transmission Pipeline</u>						Accept in part. Non-compliance with setbacks is listed as a restricted discretionary activity. However, this matter is also addressed in the report on Chapter 17 and should be referred to.
37	37.53	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.5.1	Criteria RDA	Oppose	As a consequence of the change to 8.6.12, amend 8.5.1 to include the following: <u>"8.5.1.x Firefighting water supply</u> <u>1. Mitigation of the potential adverse effects of fire, including fire spread."</u>						Accept in part. An advice note is included in Chapters 15 and 17 to identify the requirement to comply with standards for fire fighting.
11	11.71	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.5.1.2	Criteria RDA	Support in Part	Amend 8.5.1.2  Rural selling places that do not comply with Zone Standards 8.6.17.						Accept in part. There are already restricted discretions for rural selling places listed.
24	24.33	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.5.1.3	Criteria RDA	Amend	Include Restricted Discretionary criteria for buildings not complying with 2.6.2.2 and include in activity list in 2.3.4.1. Correct similar provisions in other chapters						Accept in part. There are already discretions restricted identified in the chapter.
24	24.37	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.5.1.3	Criteria RDA	Amend	Add '2.6.2.2 (setback from roads)' to the listed activities and heading of 2.5.1.2 Similar change to 8.3.3.3 and heading of 8.5.1.4						Accept in part. There are already discretions restricted identified in the chapter.
7	7.19	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.5.1.4	Criteria RDA	Support / Amend	Retain but incorporate an additional matter of discretion to ensure that the Zone Standards for Goat Farming (8.6.14) will be considered in imposing conditions.	FS11	FS11.10	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. Goat farming that does not meet the standards is recommended to be clarified as a discretionary activity.
8	8.59	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.5.1.4	Criteria RDA	Amend	Move to new Discretionary Activity section	FS3 FS11	FS3.37 FS11.11	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Federated Farmers of New Zealand (FFNZ)	Support Oppose	Accept submission point Reject the submission point	Accept. Goat farming that does not meet the standards is recommended to be clarified as a discretionary activity. The discretion reserved should be deleted.
8	8.61	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.5.1.4	Criteria RDA	Amend	Standardise the wording of 8.5.1.4						Accept. Goat farming that does not meet the standards is recommended to be clarified as a discretionary activity. The discretion reserved should be deleted.
11	11.72	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.5.1.5	Criteria RDA	Support	Retain 8.5.1.5						Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. Changes are made to the wording in response to another submission.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
57	57.78	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.5.1.5	Criteria RDA	Support / Amend	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 8.5.1.5 and 8.5.1.6 delete the words of each sub-clause 2 and substitute "Sound levels at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling on another site."	FS19	FS19.23	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. The wording sought is appropriate to include because it aligns with noise measurement requirements.
11	11.73	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.5.1.6	Criteria RDA	Support	Retain 8.5.1.6						Accept. Support is noted.
57	57.79	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.5.1.6	Criteria RDA	Support / Amend	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 8.5.1.5 and 8.5.1.6 delete the words of each sub-clause 2 and substitute "Sound levels at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling on another site."	FS19	FS19.24	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. The wording sought is appropriate to include because it aligns with noise measurement requirements.
8	8.131	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.5.15	Criteria RDA	Amend	Ensure wording of 11.5.1.2 and 8.5.15 is the same.						Accept. The wording should be consistent through the Plan unless there are reasons to vary the wording.
12	12.10	NZ Transport Agency Mathew Stulen	8.6	Standards	Amend	Provide an effect based framework for signage that enables Council to consider the potential adverse effects of signs on the safety and efficiency of the transport network.  Suggested provisions to include in the zone standards (including but not limited to):  <u>The proposed sign should not:</u> - have reflective material or illumination that flashes and moves; - Obstruct impair the view of any official traffic sign and signal, or the line of sight of any corner, bend, intersection or vehicle crossing; - Physically obstruct or impede traffic or pedestrians; - Resemble or be likely to be confused with any official traffic signs or signals; and - Use support structures which are not frangible.  Amend the "approval of the Transport Agency" requirement so that it becomes an advice note and it is amended as follows: <del>Approval for signs visible from any state highway must be gained from NZ Transport Agency. Consultation with the NZ Transport Agency will be important in the assessment of traffic safety for sign resource consent applications where they are proposed to be located on private property and are visible from a State Highway. The NZ Transport Agency also controls signs on state highway corridor Legal Road by the means of the NZ Transport Agency signs on state highways bylaw July 2010.</del>						Accept. Standards are required for signs in the Rural Zone.
19	19.21	NZ Defence Force Rebecca Davies	8.6	Standards	Support in Part	Retain permitted activity rule for TMTA, and include a statement to clarify that the other zone standards do not apply to TMTA (note: this appears to be the intent of the provisions but is not entirely clear in the rule framework). We suggest amending the introduction to the zone standards in each chapter (section 8.6 in the Rural Zone for example) to state – <i>"For clarity, the zone standards shall not apply to temporary military training activities."</i>						Accept in part. The permitted activity list has been amended to make this clear, as discussed above.
11	11.77	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.1	Standards	Support	Retain 8.6.1						Accept. Support is noted.
8	8.57	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.6.14	Standards	Support	Retain	FS3	FS3.40	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support in part	Notes that Animal Identification Act has been superseded by Tracing Act 2012.	Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. The reference should be updated.
8	8.58	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.6.15	Standards	Support	Retain						Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. The reference should be updated.
11	11.78	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.2	Standards	Support	Retain 8.6.2						Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. A change to wording is made in response to another submission.
37	37.48	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.6.2	Standards	Support in part	Amend Standard 8.6.2 as follows: <i>"The maximum height for structures within the zone shall be 9m except for frost fans up to 12m in height and hose drying towers up to 15m associated with emergency service facilities."</i>						Accept. The addition for emergency service facility towers is supported.
22	22.1	Cedar Park Orchard FD & ERL Young	8.6.2.3	Standards	Oppose	Concern existing shelter belts will be affected by this rule						Reject. Only within the Airport Protection Area will trees including shelterbelts be affected, for reasons of safety.
47	47.14	Jon Burchett	8.6.3	Standards	Amend	Should apply to all other structures, plantings as well as buildings	FS19	FS19.25	Horticulture NZ	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in part. The definition of building includes some structures. However, it is inappropriate to include plantings as the Plan does not manage vegetation in relation to amenity effects.
11	11.79	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.4	Standards	Support in Part	Amend 8.6.4.1:  1. All buildings other than dwellings shall be set back at least 5 metres from side and rear boundaries.  2. All dwellings shall be set back at least 25 metres from side and rear boundaries unless the written approval of any affected, adjoining neighbour is obtained and that written approval has been lodged with council.  3. Non-compliance with the Rule shall be considered as a Restricted Discretionary Activity.						Accept in part. The inclusion of the rule will address in part potential reverse sensitivity effects. However, on smaller lots this rule would not be able to be met so a reduction is provided for. In addition, a minimum setback is required.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
17	17.133	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.6.4	Standards	Amend	Insert additional yard rule 8.6.4.5 as follows:  <u>8.6.4.5 Building setback from rural contractors depots and rural industries</u>  <u>Any new dwelling, educational facility or visitor accommodation (including additions or alterations to these) shall be setback a minimum of 100m from any intensive farming activity or rural contractors depot that is located on a title separate to that of the subject site and in different ownership.</u>	FS19	FS19.26	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point.	Accept in part. The intent of the rule is supported but the setback is 100m from an adjacent activity is unreasonably onerous. Rural contractors depots and intensive farming activities may only be established after resource consent is obtained. As part of this process, the relationship to other properties will be considered. There is a responsibility on the activity itself to minimise the off-site effects and manage them accordingly, which should not unduly restrict the use of adjacent sites. Restricting alterations and additions to established dwellings, educational facilities and visitor accommodation is unreasonable. An increased setback is supported to the same extent as from horticulture and general farming lots where practical. However, 100m setback would be inconsistent with the permitted activity of dwellings on rural lots.
60	60.21	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.4.2	Standards	Amend	That Standard 8.6.4.2 does not apply to on-farm yards.						Reject The setback is intended to apply to all buildings regardless of their use to provide for rural character and amenity through buildings set back from roads.
7	7.20	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.6.4.3	Standards	Support	Retain	FS3	FS3.83	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
8	8.63	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.6.4.3	Standards	Amend	Retain provisions but change 'may' to 'is' in 8.6.4.3.2.						Reject. The requirement for a setback of 10m will be assessed on a case by case basis and therefore "may" is the correct word in this context.
60	60.22	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.4.3	Standards	Amend	That Standard 8.6.4.3 does not apply to farm buildings.	FS19	FS19.27	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Reject. The setback from waterbodies relates to amenity, ecological and habitat values, and enabling potential access to be provided. This is relevant to all buildings regardless of their use.
8	8.64	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.6.4.3.2	Standards	Amend	Change 'may' to 'is'						Reject. The requirement for a setback of 10m will be assessed on a case by case basis and therefore "may" is the correct word in this context.
10	10.12	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.6.4.4	Standards	Support	Retain rule 8.6.4.4						Accept. Support is noted.
37	37.49	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.6.4.4	Standards	Support	Retain Standard 8.6.4.4 as required	FS16	FS16.8	Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
60	60.23	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.4.4	Standards	Amend	That Standard 8.6.4.4 is limited to residential buildings, and the setback applies only to properties under separate ownership.						Accept in part. Clarification is required and the rule refers only to residential buildings. Therefore a change is supported.
11	11.80	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.5	Standards	Support in Part	Amend 8.6.5 as follow:  Green or black cloth shall be used on vertical faces within 30m of the boundary of the property except as provided for in 4 below  1. Any colour may be used on horizontal surfaces. 2. Yard, daylight and site coverage requirements shall not apply. 3. Within 30 metres of property boundaries a different colour cloth may be used where the written approval of the owner(s) of the immediately adjoining property, or roading authority is obtained and provided to the Council.	FS3	FS3.53	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose in part		Accept in part. The rule allows a reduction in the scale, colour and location of such structures with the written approval of the adjacent land owner. The similar arrangement with the road controlling authority should be provided for.
16	16.9	Wiseman Holdings Ltd Jessica Wiseman	8.6.5	Standards	Support in Part	Remove white and leave as black and green for permitted.	FS3 FS19	FS3.54 FS19.28	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Horticulture NZ	Support in part Oppose	Not supporting reference to rural zone standards Reject submission point.	Reject. The amended rules allow an appropriate level of control of the potential amenity and visual effects of these structures without unduly restricting the productive use of the land. Therefore, no further change is supported.
30	30.90	WA & EA Gault EA Gault	8.6.5	Standards	Support in part	Remove white and leave as black and green for permitted.						Reject. The amended rules allow an appropriate level of control of the potential amenity and visual effects of these structures without unduly restricting the productive use of the land. Therefore, no further change is supported.
31	31.90	Graeme Gault	8.6.5	Standards	Support in part	Remove white and leave as black and green for permitted.						Reject. The amended rules allow an appropriate level of control of the potential amenity and visual effects of these structures without unduly restricting the productive use of the land. Therefore, no further change is supported.
47	47.12	Jon Burchett	8.6.5	Standards	Amend	Apply to where colour is visible from outside the property						Reject. The amended rules allow an appropriate level of control of the potential amenity and visual effects of these structures without unduly restricting the productive use of the land. Therefore, no further change is supported.
11	11.81	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.6	Standards	Oppose in part	Amend 8.6.6.1  Areas used for storage by rural industries and rural services shall be to be screened from any public place and from adjoining residential activity sites that existed prior to the establishment of the rural industry or rural service.						Accept in part. The requirement for screening of storage areas would be appropriately linked to rural industrial and rural services whether or not there is an established residential activity on an adjacent site. However, a separation distance would reduce the requirement for screening.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
60	60.24	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.6.1	Standards	Amend	That Standard 8.6.6.1 clarifies that it refers to screening of industrial or commercial storage and service areas.						Accept in part. The requirement for screening of storage areas would be appropriately linked to rural industrial and rural services whether or not there is an established residential activity on an adjacent site. However, a separation distance would reduce the requirement for screening.
10	10.13	Hancock Forest Management Sally Strang	8.6.7	Standards	Oppose	Add an exemption to rule 8.6.7 to allow as a permitted activity temporary noise from routine rural production activities that exceed the noise limit, including the harvesting of crops and harvest of production forestry.	FS5 FS19	FS5.1 FS19.29	Rayonier Matariki Forests Horticulture NZ	Support Support	Accept submission point Include an exemption in 8.6.7.1 for rural production activities.	Accept. Provision for noise exceeding permitted levels for short term operations such as harvesting is supported as practical and appropriate.
11	11.82	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.7.1	Standards	Oppose in part	Amend 8.6.7.1 Rural Daytime to 55 LAeq Include an exemption as follows  Subject to best practicable option being adopted the following activities are exempt from 8.6.7.1:  i) Activities required for rural production activities, including agricultural and horticultural vehicles and equipment; aircraft used for agricultural and horticultural purposes; and portable equipment (excluding portable sawmills and frost protection fans and audible bird scaring devices) associated with agricultural and horticultural activities such as: spraying, harvesting.  ii) Livestock						Accept. Provision for noise exceeding permitted levels for short term operations such as harvesting is supported as practical and appropriate.
37	37.50	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.6.7.1	Standards	Support in part	Amend Standard 8.6.7.1 to include the following: "These standards do not apply to sirens used by emergency services."						Accept. Provision for noise exceeding permitted levels for sirens from emergency services is supported as practical and appropriate.
41	41.16	Ministry of Education Andrew Hill	8.6.7.1	Standards	Support	Retain as notified						Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged. Changes to the wording are made in response to other submissions to clarify and update the information contained in the standard.
57	57.80	Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service Sally Webb	8.6.7.1	Standards	Support / Amend	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: A. Delete in clause 8.6.7.1 "boundary of any site zoned Rural" and substitute "notional boundary of any dwelling on another site within the Rural Zone." B. Delete column 4 in the table. C. Amend incorrect title of NZS 6801 to NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics-Measurement of Environmental Sound. D. Amend incorrect title of NZS 6802 to NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics-Environmental Noise. E. Amend incorrect title of NZS 6803 to NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics- Construction Noise. Delete sub-clause 1 (b) and replace with the following: "(b) Not exceed 65dB LAE at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling on another site in the Rural Zone, or at any point within any Residential Zone. In any Rural zone this noise limit shall not apply where the land owner of other land and the current occupant of any dwelling on that land in any season, has given written approval to the user of the bird scaring device/s and a copy of that approval has been supplied to the Environment and Planning Manager. F. Written approval to the activity and a copy has been provided to the Council. In sub-clause 1 (b) relating to audible bird scaring devices, insert "at any point within" before the words "boundary of any Residential Zone" G. In sub-clause 1 (b) relating to audible bird scaring devices, delete "dB ASEL" and substitute "dB LAE".	FS19	FS19.30	Horticulture NZ	Support in part /Oppose in part	Amend 8.6.1.7 as sought by the submitter A. Reject submissions E, F, and G to amend 8.6.7.1 (1)(b) with LAE and wording unless the meaning is simple and clear.	Accept in part. The updates are supported as practical and appropriate.
60	60.25	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.7.1	Standards	Amend	That rural production activity noise is exempt from Standard 8.6.7.1 for noise.	FS19	FS19.31	Horticulture NZ	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Provision for noise exceeding permitted levels for short term operations such as harvesting is supported as practical and appropriate.
11	11.83	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.7.1.1	Standards	Support	Retain 8.6.7.1 (1)						Accept. Support is noted.
47	47.11	Jon Burchett	8.6.7.1.1	Standards	Amend	Clarify meaning of 'ASEL' in 8.6.7.1.1 (b)						Accept. The reference is corrected in response to another submission.
11	11.84	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.7.2.2	Standards	Support	Retain 8.6.7.1 (2)						Accept. Support is noted.
37	37.51	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.6.9	Standards	Support in part	Amend Standard 8.6.9.1 to include the following additional standard: "Emergency service facilities 1 space per emergency service vehicle bay"						Accept. The change provides for a listed activity.
11	11.85	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.9.1	Standards	Support in Part	Add parking provision for seasonal worker accommodation 1 space per 6 workers.  Amend residential dwellings to 2 spaces per dwelling.						Accept. The change provides for a listed activity. The correction to parking for dwellings is supported.
24	24.62	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.6.9.1	Standards	Amend	Amend to require 2 carparks per dwelling.						Accept. The correction to parking for dwellings is supported.
41	41.17	Ministry of Education Andrew Hill	8.6.9.1	Standards	Support	Retain as notified						Accept. The support of the submitter is acknowledged.
47	47.13	Jon Burchett	8.6.9.1	Standards	Amend	Include orchards with provision for loading and/or unloading of service vehicles on site, in such a way that the footpath, road or access to adjoining properties and through traffic is not obstructed.	FS19	FS19.32	Horticulture NZ	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject. There is an existing rule that requires on site provision for loading and unloading which must be complied with.
24	24.63	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.6.9.2	Standards	Amend	Add "Rural vehicle entrances shall be provided and designs shall comply with Appendix 4"						Accept. The correction is supported.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
17	17.34	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.6.10.1	Standards	Oppose / Amend	Amend Floor Level rules in Chapters 2 to 11 (as listed in the index to read eg 9.6.9):  <i>Floor levels shall be sufficient to ensure that water does not enter buildings in a 1% AEP (Annual Exceedance Probability) event within the coastal environment or a 2% AEP (Annual Exceedance Probability) event for areas outside the coastal environment and a low level of risk achieved.</i>  <i>Opotiki District Council will determine the appropriate freeboard that needs to be added to the flood level to set the required minimum floor level.</i>						Accept in part. The Opotiki District Council is committed to managing the effects of natural hazards within its district. As part of this commitment Council will enter into a project with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council to respond to the management of use and development of land in a way that reduces natural hazard risk. This project is to commence within the next three years. The project will be undertaken in an integrated manner that incorporates a number of modelling projects, sustainability of the stopbanks on the Waioeka and Otara rivers, along with a number of other hazards including coastal hazards. It is intended that the project team will consult and engage with the Opotiki community to provide options around responding. At the completion of the project dependant on findings a plan change may be undertaken to implement the outcomes of the project in an RMA framework. This will guide use, development and subdivision of land within our District.
5	5.1	J Gregory	8.6.11	Standards	NS	Check on pollution from dairying - enforce compliance						The issue raised by the submitter is noted. Rules within the Plan must be complied with. Some responsibilities rest with BOPRC in relation to discharges to land and water.
37	37.52	NZ Fire Commission Stephanie Dean	8.6.12	Standards	Oppose	Amend Standard 8.6.12 as follows: *8.6.12 WATER SUPPLY 8.6.12.1 A potable water supply ... 8.6.12.x Firefighting Water Supply 1. New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008. 2. Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."	FS19	FS19.33	Horticulture NZ	Oppose in part	Seek that the plan encourage land owners to have a water supply for firefighting purposes.	Accept in part. The provisions for water supply, including fire fighting, are addressed in Chapter 17. The report on Chapter 17 should be referred to.
7	7.8	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.6.14	Standards	Support	Retain 8.6.14 - Goat Farming Boundary Fences - as notified.						Accept. Support is noted.
7	7.21	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.6.14	Standards	Support	Retain						Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.74	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.6.14	Standards	Support / Amend	Retain 8.6.14, 8.9.2, 9.3.2.1.2 and 11.3.2.1.2 as notified.						Accept. Support is noted.
60	60.26	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.14	Standards	Amend	That Standard 8.6.14 is deleted, and That goat farming is included under the definition of <i>farming or rural production activity</i> , and therefore permitted by Rule 8.3.1.1.1.						Reject. Management of goat farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management.
7	7.22	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.6.15	Standards	Support	Retain	FS3	FS3.47	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support in part	Notes that Animal Identification Act has been superseded by Tracing Act 2012.	Accept. Support is noted.
40	40.1	NZDFA - Bay of Plenty Lindsay Fung	8.6.15	Standards	Oppose	Delete 8.6.15 Deer Farming and as a result delete Appendix 8.9.1. Refer attachment to submission.						Reject. Management of deer farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management. Deletion is not supported.
60	60.27	Federated Farmers of NZ Nikki Edwards	8.6.15	Standards	Amend	That Standard 8.6.15 is deleted.						Reject. Management of deer farming is essential within the District to enable appropriate management. Deletion is not supported.
11	11.86	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.16	Standards	Amend	Add a new Zone Standard 8.6.16 Seasonal Worker accommodation  Seasonal worker accommodation facilities in the Rural Zone is a permitted activity provided the following standards are complied with:  a) The relevant Zone standards for yards, height, daylight protection and parking are complied with. b) Access – No additional formed accesses are to be created to any State Highway c) Used solely for part of the year to meet labour requirements in the horticulture sector d) Comprises of a combination of communal kitchen and eating areas and sleeping and ablution facilities e) Accommodate up to 12 workers f) Provide 1 parking space per 6 workers to be accommodated g) Complies with Code of Practice for Able Bodied Seasonal Workers, published by Dept of Building and Housing 2008.						Accept. Seasonal Worker Accommodation has been included in the listed activities and standards are required to address potential effects.
36	36.9	First Gas Limited Hywel Edwards	8.6.16	Standards	Amend	New zone standard under Chapter 8.6:  <u>8.6.16 Building setback from above ground incidental equipment associated with the Gas Transmission Pipeline</u>  <u>1. New buildings and activities must be setback a minimum of 30m from the boundary of above-ground incidental equipment associated with the Gas Transmission Pipeline.</u>						Accept in part. The provisions for network utilities are addressed in Chapter 17. The report on Chapter 17 should be referred to.

**Proposed Opotiki District Plan  
Summary of Decisions Requested**

Submitter No.	Submission Point	Submitter Name	Provision No.	Topic	Support / Amend / Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Further submitter no.	Further submission point no.	Submitter Name	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested (Relief Sought)	Planner's Recommendation
11	11.87	Horticulture NZ Angela Halliday	8.6.17	Standards	Amend	Add 8.6.17 Rural selling places  - Employs no more than 10 people on site - Is located within a building or open space with a maximum gross floor area of land area of 100m2.						Reject. The status of rural selling places is restricted discretionary and the discretions are listed in 8.5.1.2.
17	17.54	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.7.1	Other Methods	Support / Amend	Amend 'Other Method's' referring to natural hazards (in 2.7.1, 3.7.1, 7.6.1, 8.7.1, 9.7.1 and 10.7.1) as notified to read:  <del>Through the use of Land Information Memoranda (LIM) and Project Information Memoranda (PIM) to provide information on known natural hazards to potential developers and applicants.</del>  Insert the above 'Other Method' into sections 4.7.1, 5.6.1, 6.7.1 and 11.9.1.						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.
8	8.65	Eastern Bay of Plenty - Forest and Bird Linda Conning	8.7.1.7	Other Methods	Amend	Amend to begin: "To manage ..."						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.
17	17.130	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.8	EER	Support / Amend	Retain Environmental Results 8.8 A and B as notified.						Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.131	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.8	EER	Support / Amend	Retain Environmental Results 8.8 A and B as notified.						Accept. Support is noted.
18	18.68	Heritage NZ Carolyn McAlley	8.8	EER	Oppose	That 8.8 Expected Environmental Results is amended to include recognition of an increase in Papakainga housing.						Accept. The minor change to the wording is accepted
7	7.23	Department of Conservation Chris Staite	8.9	Appendix	Support	Retain fencing standards	FS3	FS3.59	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Accept submission point	Accept. Support is noted.
17	17.75	Bay of Plenty Regional Council Fiona McTavish	8.9.2	Appendix	Support / Amend	Retain 8.6.14, 8.9.2, 9.3.2.1.2 and 11.3.2.1.2 as notified.						Accept. Support is noted.
24	24.64	Opotiki District Council Aileen Lawrie	8.9.2.7	Appendix	Amend	Amend to read - 'goats to pass <u>through</u> . Floodgates shall be constructed ...' to 'goats to pass through. Such fences shall be constructed ...'						Accept. The minor change to the wording is clearer.